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Intermittent outgassing through a non-Newtonian fluid

Thibaut Divoux, Eric Bertin, Valérie Vidal and Jean-Christophe Géminard
Université de Lyon, Laboratoire de Physique, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS, 46 Allée d’Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France.

We report an experimental study of the intermittent dynamics of a gas flowing through a column of a non-Newtonian fluid. In a given range of the imposed constant flow rate, the system spontaneously alternates between two regimes: bubbles emitted at the bottom either rise independently one from the other or merge to create a winding flue which then connects the bottom air-entrance to the free surface. The observations are reminiscent of the spontaneous changes in the degassing regime observed on volcanoes and suggest that, in nature, such a phenomenon is likely to be governed by the non-Newtonian properties of the magma. We focus on the statistical distribution of the lifespans of the bubbling and flue regimes in the intermittent steady-state. The bubbling regime exhibits a characteristic time whereas, interestingly, the flue lifespan displays a decaying power-law distribution. The associated exponent, which is significantly smaller than the value 1.5 often reported experimentally and predicted in some standard intermittency scenarios, depends on the fluid properties and can be interpreted as the ratio of two characteristic times of the system.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a, 05.40.-a, 47.57-s, 83.60.Rs, 91.40.Hw

I. INTRODUCTION

A large number of physical systems driven far from equilibrium exhibit an intermittent behavior, where quiet phases (off-state) randomly alternate with periods of strong activity (on-state). Experimental examples in physical systems include electronic devices [1], spin-wave instabilities [2], plasma produced by a gas discharge [3], liquid crystals [4, 5], and nanoscopic systems such as single molecules [6], nanocrystal quantum-dots [7], or nanoparticles diffusing through a laser focus [8]. Intermittency has also been reported in other disciplinary fields, like in the example of the sleep-wake transitions during sleep [9]. A standard characterization of the intermittency phenomenon is the distribution of time spent in the off-state. Interestingly, in most of the experimental studies reported so-far [1–8], this distribution exhibits a power-law regime with an exponent close to 1.5, with a cut-off at large times. This value of the exponent is well-understood theoretically through the so-called scenarios of on-off intermittency [10–12] and type-III intermittency [13, 14], which both predict a power-law distribution with an exponent 3/2.

A Newtonian fluid flowing through a non-Newtonian material is alike to present different escaping regimes [15] as well as an intermittent activity, as previously observed both in physical [16, 17] and geophysical [18, 19] contexts. In the laboratory, experiments have been conducted in the case of air emission through an immersed granular layer [16]. These studies evidenced different air-outgassing regimes through the non-linear medium at a constant flow rate. In particular, it has been observed that gas emitted at the bottom of an immersed granular layer can cross the whole system through temporary channels, which later spontaneously collapse [16, 17]. In a geophysical context, volcanologists reported observations of the degassing process which interestingly exhibits similar temporal patterns [18]. In this case, the gas, released by magma during its rise toward the free surface, plays the role of the Newtonian fluid and magma the role of the non-Newtonian material [20, 21]: inside the volcano conduit, dissolved gas gives birth to bubbles, which ascend and burst intermittently at top of the volcano vent [19]. What remains puzzling is the existence of spontaneous changes between different activities (e.g. discrete bursts or continuous puffing [22]) for which the non-Newtonian properties of magma could play an important role [19, 23, 24].

At the laboratory scale, two kinds of experiments have been previously conducted in order to model the volcanic degassing-activity. On the one hand, laboratory experiments were designed to account for the potential effects of the geometry of an underlying magma-chamber [25]. These studies were limited to the case of Newtonian fluids and, besides, did not consider the issue of the time spent in each of the regimes. On the other hand, recent laboratory experiments performed on real rhyolitic melts close to their natural conditions have revealed that during their rise, bubbles deform and coalesce under shear [26] leading to channel-like bubble networks [27]. Those structures were proposed as a key ingredient in the magma degassing process at depth [27], but not directly related to the non-Newtonian rheology of magma.

In this paper, we report an experimental study of the intermittent dynamics of a gas rising through a column of a non-Newtonian fluid. We unravel that this outgassing process can be considered as a two-states process: either it occurs through bubbles rising independently (the bubbling regime, on-state), or through a gas channel which crosses tortuously the whole system (the winding-flue regime, off-state). Let us emphasize here that such an intermittent process, defined in terms of a two-states process (bubbling or channel-like regime), is different from the intermittent regime reported in purely Newtonian fluids, as described in [28]. Indeed, a Newtonian solution cannot sustain the formation of a flue
II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A. Experimental setup

The experimental setup (Fig. 1) consists of a vertical plexiglass tube (inner diameter 74 mm, height 270 mm) filled with a non-Newtonian solution. Air is injected at the bottom of the fluid column through an air tank, partially filled with water. The system thus makes it possible to tune the inner free volume \( V \) of the tank (ranging from 60 to 800 cm\(^3\)) by changing the inner amount of water and to inject humid air. Besides, above the fluid column, a small container filled with water maintains a saturated humidity level at the free surface. This way, one avoids any significant drying of the sample during the experimental time. A mass-flow controller (Bronkhorst, Mass-Stream series D-5111) introduces air inside the tank at a constant flow rate \( Q \) (from \( Q_{\text{min}} = 0.17 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s} \) to \( Q_{\text{max}} = 1.74 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s} \)). Air is then injected at the bottom of the fluid column through a nozzle (diameter \( d = 2.0 \text{ mm} \)). Rigid tubes (typical diameter, 8.0 mm) insure the connection between the tank and the column. We measure the variation of the overpressure \( \delta P \) inside the chamber with the help of a low-pressure differential-sensor (Honeywell S&C, 176PC28HD2) connected to a multimeter (Keithley, 196). A C++ program records the pressure data (to within 5 Pa) through the GPIB interface over long time-durations (typically a few days) at 5 Hz. As we will see, monitoring the pressure in the tank chamber makes it possible to probe the degassing activity while direct visual observation is obstructed as the gel solution progressively fills up with bubbles (Sec. II C).

The fluid consists in a diluted solution (10\% in mass of distilled water, unless otherwise specified) of a commercial hair-dressing gel (Gel coiffant, fixation extra forte, Auchan). This choice is mainly justified by the fact that one can easily be supplied with large quantities of fluid, reproducible mixtures are rather easy to prepare [31] and they are stable in time if well prevented from drying. Some of the non-Newtonian rheological properties of the fluid are reported in the appendix A. In particular, the solution, when free of bubbles, is shear-thinning and we estimated the viscoelastic characteristic time \( \tau_{\text{c}} = 1/\gamma_{\text{c}} \approx 1700 \text{ s} \), compatible with the ratio of the viscosity at low shear-rate \( \eta_0 \approx 6.10^4 \text{ Pa.s} \) to the estimated flow threshold \( \sigma_{\text{c}} \approx 35 \text{ Pa} \).

B. Preliminary observations

The gel solution is poured inside the tube and a constant flow is imposed. Qualitatively, one observes that the solution starts filling up with small-sized bubbles. These small bubbles, which remain trapped because of the fluid threshold, are further advected by the large bubbles subsequently emitted at the nozzle and progressively a vertical gradient pointing toward the top of the column takes place (Fig. 1). After this transient regime, whose duration depends on the flow rate \( Q \), the fluid column reaches a constant height \( h \), significantly larger than the initial height \( h_0 \). We observe that there exists a flow rate \( Q_b \) which can be experimentally defined as the most effi-
cient flow rate to fill the solution with trapped bubbles. The transient which lasts a few hours for $Q \approx Q_b$ can last days to weeks if $Q$ significantly differs from $Q_b$. Special attention has been paid to the characterization of the bubble gradient and of the associated fluid rheology. Details are reported in the appendix A. Finally, note that all the data reported in this article were obtained after the bubble gradient was established.

C. Experimental protocol

We pointed out that small bubbles remain trapped in the fluid, which might lead to an evolution of the rheological properties through time (aging). Thus, in order to ensure the reproducibility of the experiment, we chose the following experimental protocol: the gel solution, initially free of bubbles, is poured inside the tube; the flow rate is set to the smallest accessible value, $Q_{\text{min}}$; the overpressure $\delta P$ is then recorded for, at least, 3 days. Subsequently, the flow rate is increased by steps of amplitude $\delta Q$ up to the maximum value, $Q_{\text{max}}$. For each value of the flow rate $Q$, the pressure $P$ is again recorded for, at least, 3 days. Then, the same process is applied to decrease the flow rate $Q$ down to $Q_{\text{min}}$. The whole process will be referred to as a flow rate cycle. For all the experiments reported here, we chose $\delta Q \approx Q_{\text{min}}$, corresponding to about ten data points over the experimental range of $Q$.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Overall behavior of the system

We now turn to the study of the pressure recorded inside the tank-chamber. We report $\delta P$, the variations of the pressure around its mean value, high-pass filtered at 1 mHz. One immediately notices that the pressure exhibits two distinct behaviors (Fig. 2), which emphasizes rest and activity periods.

Thus, a first crucial result is the following: for a given flow rate, the system spontaneously alternates, in an irregular manner, between two states. Either cusped-like bubbles are emitted at the bottom of the gel column, rise through it and burst at the top independently from one another (the bubbling regime); the corresponding pressure variation $\delta P$ exhibits successive rises and drops, the latter corresponding to the formation of the bubbles. Or, a channel, previously reported as a ‘bubble sausage’ [29], connects the bottom air-entrance to the column top (winding flue regime); the corresponding $\delta P$ is almost constant.

The formation of the flue is linked to the non-Newtonian properties of the hair-gel solution and, as we shall see, to the thixotropy. A rising bubble produces a shear which locally leads to a decrease of the fluid resistance to flow, thus creating an easy path through the fluid column [32]. This process takes place if the time-difference between two successive bubbles is shorter than the typical relaxation time scale of the fluid. Once formed, the flue, subjected to a creep flow under the effect of gravity, slowly deforms and eventually collapses, leading the system back to the bubbling regime. These two mechanisms explain why the system switches quickly between two very different states.

As a first global result, we report the fraction of time, $\Phi_b$, spent by the system in the bubbling state at a given flow rate (Fig. 3). Below a certain threshold $Q^*$, the system experiences the bubbling regime, whereas above $Q^*$ the system naturally alternates between the bubbling and winding-flue regimes (We note that $Q^* \approx Q_b$, which is discussed in the appendix B). We point out that the two regions, above and below the transition, are not symmetric: indeed, for $Q < Q^*$, we only observe the bubbling regime (the system is unable to form a flue) whereas, in the case $Q > Q^*$, even for the largest flow rate, the flue always eventually tweaks and collapses (finite lifespan). The critical flow rate $Q^*$ is independent of the column height $h_0$ and of the chamber volume $V$ but depends on the rheological properties of the fluid. For instance, the minimum flow rate necessary to sustain an open flue increases when the fluid threshold is decreased by dilution.

![Fig. 2: Typical pressure variation $\delta P(t)$ vs time $t$. At a constant flow rate, the typical signal from the pressure sensor exhibits a spontaneous alternation between rest and activity periods. Rapid drops of the overpressure mark the emission of successive bubbles at the bottom of the column whereas an almost-constant overpressure corresponds to a flue connecting the injection hole to the free surface. The analysis consists of measuring the lifespan $\tau_b$ (resp. $\tau_f$) of the bubble (resp. flue) regime. The figures from top to bottom display the signal at different time scales ($h_0 = 70 \text{ mm}$, $V = 800 \text{ cm}^3$ and $Q = 0.35 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$).](image)
and we assessed \( Q^* \approx 0.3 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s} \) for 10% of added water and \( Q^* \approx 1 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s} \) for 15% (Fig. 3). Finally, note that, even if the channel can always collapse at large flow rate, one can identify a flow rate \( Q^+ \approx 1.5 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s} \) above which the emission of a single bubble leads to the formation of a new channel (flow rate \( Q \) above the gray region in Fig. 3).

From now on, because of the binary behavior of gel column at a given flow rate \( Q \), we shall account for the complex dynamics of the system by considering the overpressure signal as a temporal sequence \( \{ j_f, \tau_{b_f}, \tau_{f_2}, ..., \tau_{f_n} \} \) of the successive flue- (resp. bubbling)-regime lifespans, \( \tau_f \) (resp. \( \tau_b \)). We aim at estimating the probability distributions \( p_b(\tau_b) \) and \( p_f(\tau_f) \). To do so, we display estimates of the corresponding cumulative probability distributions \( F_b(\tau_b) \) (Fig. 4) and \( F_f(\tau_f) \) (Fig. 6), defined as

\[
F_x(\tau_x) \equiv \int_{\tau_x}^{\infty} p_x(\tau) \, d\tau \tag{1}
\]

where \( x \) stands for \( b \) or \( f \). We sort the sequence \( \{ \tau_x^{(1)} \} \) into \( \{ \tau_x^{(j)} \} \) such that

\[
\tau_x^{(1)} > \tau_x^{(2)} > \ldots > \tau_x^{(n)} \tag{2}
\]

and plot \( j/n \) versus \( \tau_x^{(j)} \) [33].

**FIG. 3: Fraction \( \Phi_b \) vs. imposed flow rate \( Q \) -** The fraction of time spent in the bubbling regime, \( \Phi_b \), clearly exhibits a transition at a given flow rate \( Q^* \) which drastically depends on the fluid rheology. Fitting curves, sigmoidal, are given as eye leads. The gray area marks the range, \( Q \in [Q^*, Q^+] \), in which the duration of the bubbling regime can be defined (associated with \( \bullet \), only) ([symbol: height \( h_0 \) mm, volume \( V \) cm\(^3\)]. Added-water content 10 %: \( \bullet \) 70, 530); \( \circ \) 130, 530); \( \oplus \) 70, 800). Added-water content 15 %: \( \square \) 70, 530).

**FIG. 4: Cumulative probability distribution \( F_b(\tau_b) \) -** The time \( \tau_b \) spent in the bubbling regime exhibits an exponential distribution with a characteristic time \( \tau_b^{*} \) (Fig. 5). We report data obtained when increasing and decreasing the flow rate \( Q \) ([symbol, \( Q \) \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}]). Top: \( \times, 0.347 \); \( \circ, 0.521 \); \( \blacksquare, 0.695 \); \( \triangle, 0.869 \); \( \blacktriangledown, 1.04 \). Middle: \( \odot, 1.22 \); \( \blacklozenge, 0.782 \); \( \diamond, 0.608 \); \( \blacklozenge, 0.434 \). Bottom: \( \times, 0.347 \); \( \circ, 0.521 \); \( \blacksquare, 0.695 \); \( \blacktriangledown, 1.04 \). (Added-water content 10%, \( V = 530 \text{ cm}^3, h_0 = 70 \text{ mm} \)).

**B. Bubbling regime**

From the temporal sequence \( \{ \tau_b^{(n)} \} \), we deduce that there exists a characteristic time, \( \tau_b^{*} \), to build a flue from the bubbling regime. Indeed, the cumulative probability distribution \( F_b(\tau_b) \) decreases almost exponentially for increasing values of \( \tau_b \) (Fig. 4). Thus, the lifespan \( \tau_b \) of the bubbling regime presents an almost-exponential probability distribution. We report the associated characteristic time \( \tau_b^{*} \) as a function of the flow rate \( Q \) for different values of the chamber volume \( V \) (Fig. 5). First, \( \tau_b^{*} \) decreases drastically when the flow rate is increased above \( Q^* \) (Note that \( \tau_b^{*} \) is not defined for \( Q < Q^* \) as the system is constantly in the bubbling regime and that, for \( Q > Q^+ \), the measurements are difficult to perform because of the small number of channel collapses during
FIG. 5: Time $\tau_b^*$ vs. flow rate $Q$. - Values of $\tau_b^*$ obtained for both increasing and decreasing flow rates are reported. The closed symbols denote the values deduced from the data reported in the figure 4. The open symbols correspond to data obtained when the fluid column is stirred after each change in the flow rate ($\Delta, V = 530 \text{ cm}^3$). The continuous line corresponds to the equation (3) with $\tau_0 \approx 65 \text{ s}$, $Q_c = 0.35 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ and $Q^* \approx 0.29 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$. The horizontal dashed line denotes the characteristic time of emission of a single bubble, about 1 s. The grey region corresponds to $Q \in [Q^*, Q^+]$ [[Symbol, $V \text{ cm}^3$]: (●, 530); (♦, 800). (Added-water content 10%, $h_a = 70 \text{ mm}$)].

The existence of a characteristic time $\tau_b^*$ associated with the lifespan of the bubbling regime is quite intuitive but the mechanism leading to the formation of the channel is complex. A full description of the phenomenon, which would deserve an extensive study, is beyond the scope of the present article. However, we propose a simple heuristic argument (Appendix B) which suggests to describe the experimental data by the following fitting function (Fig. 5):

$$\tau_b^* \approx -\tau_0 \ln \left[ Q_c \left( \frac{1}{Q^*} - \frac{1}{Q} \right) \right].$$

(3)

The key ingredients are a decrease of the flow threshold (yield stress) due to the shear imposed by the rising bubbles and the thixotropy of the fluid charged with bubbles. Interestingly, the rheological measurements reported in the appendix A point out that the small bubbles enhance the thixotropy and thus play here an important role. From the interpolation of the experimental data, we obtain $\tau_0 \approx 65 \text{ s}$, $Q_c = 0.35 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ and $Q^* \approx 0.29 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ (added-water content 10%, $V = 530 \text{ cm}^3$ and $V = 800 \text{ cm}^3$, $h_a = 70 \text{ mm}$). Interestingly, requiring $\tau_b^* > 0$ in the equation (3), we obtain a maximum flow rate $Q^* \equiv Q_c Q^*/(Q_c - Q^*) \approx 1.7 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$, which roughly corresponds to the upper limit in figure 3. In order to define this upper limit properly, one must consider instead the flow rate for $\tau_0$ to equal the typical time of a bubble emission. We estimate, in this case, that the channel forms immediately, after the emission of a single bubble, for $Q > 1.5 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$.

Thus, even if this rough model must be considered with caution, the proposed mechanism accounts for the existence of a characteristic lifespan $\tau_b^*$ of the bubble regime in a finite range $[Q^*, Q^+]$ of the imposed flow rate, $\tau_b^*$ decreasing when the flow rate $Q$ is increased.

C. Winding flue regime

From the temporal sequence $\{\tau_f^{(n)}\}$, we observe that, for $Q \in [Q^*, Q^+]$, the cumulative distribution $F_f(\tau_f)$ of the winding-flue lifespan $\tau_f$ presents a power-law behavior, $F_f(\tau_f) \propto \tau_f^{-\alpha}$ for $\tau_f \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$ with $\tau_1 \sim 10 \text{ s}$ and $\tau_2 \sim 1000 \text{ s}$ (Fig. 6) and a rapid decay beyond the cut-off time $\tau_2$. We report in the figure 7 the experimental values of $\alpha$ obtained for flow rates in the gray region defined in the figure 3. We estimate that $\alpha = 0.18 \pm 0.02$, this latter value being independent of $Q$ and $V$. However, $\alpha$ depends on the spatial distribution of the small bubbles which alter the rheological properties of the fluid (Appendix A). This conclusion is drawn by using a slightly different protocol: in an experimental series, we stirred the solution after each increment in the flow rate and estimated an even smaller value $\alpha \approx 0.1$ in this latter case (Fig. 7). We thus observe that $\alpha$ is always significantly lower than the usual value $\alpha = 0.5$, often reported in experimental observations [1–8] and also expected from some of the theoretical intermittency scenarios, like the on-off intermittency [10–12]. Note that such a small value of the exponent ($\alpha < 1$) imposes a cutoff at long times, $\tau_2$, which is necessary for the average $\langle \tau_f \rangle$ to exist. Experimentally, the data correspond to an acquisition time of 4 days, which is significantly larger than $\tau_2$, so that we expect the system to have reached a stationary state [34] and the cut-off not to be due to the finite experimental time. In some sense, $\tau_2$ corresponds to the largest memory time scale during which the stresses in the fluid at rest remain correlated, which is compatible with the viscoelastic time of the fluid, that is to say $\tau_2 \sim \tau_c$, thus of the order of $10^3 \text{ s}$ (Appendix A).

Hence, the flue lifespan does not exhibit any characteristic timescale in a temporal window between $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ in which a power-law distribution with a small exponent is observed. These observations, which lie at the core of the present article, are thoroughly discussed in the section IV.
universal value, independent of the details of the dynamics. For instance, in the on-off intermittency scenario, the exponent $1 + \alpha = 3/2$ is directly related to the first return time probability of a random walk (or a “chaotic walk” in the deterministic case) [12]. In purely deterministic scenarios, like type-I, type-II and type-III intermittency scenarios [13, 14], the exponents are related to the classification of bifurcations in dynamical system theory [12–14]. The corresponding values of the exponent $1 + \alpha$ in these scenarios (1/2 for type-I intermittency, 2 for type-II and 3/2 for type-III [12, 14]) all differ significantly from our experimental values.

In the present experiment, due to both its non-standard value and its observed dependence on the experimental conditions, $\alpha$ is likely to be related to some rheological or physical properties of the system, rather than to some generic theoretical property. It is thus interesting to relate the measured value of the exponent $\alpha$ to some properties of the gel. A full analysis of this issue is well beyond the scope of the present article, but in order to get some first insights, we propose to describe the data with a simple stochastic model with two states, namely bubble or flue. In such a framework, we write that, after having spent a time $\tau_b$ in the bubble regime, the system has a probability per unit time (or transition rate) $r_b(\tau_b)$ to switch to the flue regime. Similarly, we assume that after a time $\tau_f$ in the flue regime, the transition rate to the bubble regime is $r_f(\tau_f)$. In the bubble regime, the exponential distribution, $F_b(\tau_b)$, with the characteristic time $\tau_b^*$ means that the transition rate $r_b$ is constant, independent of $\tau_b$, and equal to $r_b = 1/\tau_b^*$. In contrast, in the winding-flue regime, the observed power-law distribution $F_f(\tau_f)$ implies that the transition rate $r_f(\tau_f)$ is not a constant, and thus that a more complex dynamics is at play, even in this phenomenological de-

IV. DISCUSSION

As explained above, our main result is the experimental observation that the probability density function of flue lifespans displays a power-law behavior over a broad time window, with a non-standard exponent $1 + \alpha \sim 1.2$, which slightly depends on the rheological properties of the fluid. This result is of particular interest, since in standard intermittency scenarios, the exponent takes a
We propose that the volcanic intermittent activity observed on the field, which has been hitherto explained in terms of constriction of the magma chamber [25] or by changes in gas flux and/or magma flow rate at depth [18, 35], could be (partly) the results of the non-Newtonian properties of magma. Indeed, our simple laboratory experiment demonstrates that, even if a constant gas flow is imposed, one can observe an intermittent activity on top of the fluid column.

A more detailed understanding of this non-standard intermittency phenomenon would certainly be desirable, both from practical and fundamental viewpoints. An extensive study of the dependence of the exponent $\alpha$ on the physical properties of the fluid (rheological properties, surface tension [36], . . . ) is in progress. Moreover, we are also currently investigating a two-dimensional experiment, similar to the present three-dimensional one, in which one can monitor the evolution and the collapse of the flue. We aim at relating the overall dynamics of the system to the local dynamics.

Finally, we proposed that the minimum ingredients necessary for a channel to form and stabilize, and thus for the intermittency to be observed, are a shear-thinning and thixotropic fluid. However, a detailed study of the mechanisms at stake in the flue formation and collapse remains to be performed.
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APPENDIX A: FLUID CHARACTERIZATION

1. Rheology of the fluid

The rheological properties of the solution, free of bubbles, have been measured using a rheometer (Bohlin Instruments, C-VOR 150) equipped with parallel plates (PP-60, gap $c = 750$ and 1000 $\mu$m). Sand paper was glued to the plates in order to prevent any sliding of the fluid at the walls; At 25°C, we performed both steady-shear and steady-stress experiments with an equilibration time $\Delta t$ ranging from 1 to 25 s (Fig. 8). The solution is shear-thinning and we estimated the associated characteristic time $\tau_c = 1/\gamma_c \simeq 1700$ s, compatible with the ratio of the viscosity at low shear-rate $\eta_0 \simeq 6 \times 10^4$ Pa.s to the estimated flow threshold $\sigma_c \simeq 35$ Pa (Fig. 8).

2. Bubbles size and density

During the experiments, the small bubbles that remain trapped in the fluid column, due to the yield stress, al-
FIG. 8: Fluid viscosity $\eta$ vs shear-rate $\dot{\gamma}$ - The fluid is shear-thinning. The vertical gray line indicates the characteristic shear-rate $\dot{\gamma}_c$. Inset: Fluid viscosity $\eta$ versus shear stress $\sigma$. The measurements clearly point out the existence of a flow threshold $\sigma_c \simeq 35$ Pa (Open symbols correspond to stress-controlled experiments whereas solid symbols correspond to shear-controlled experiments. Gap $e = 750$ $\mu$m : (□, $\Delta t = 25$ s); (◇, $\Delta t = 1$ s); (•, $\Delta t = 10$ s]).

FIG. 9: Bubbles size and density - Left: Cumulative probability distribution $F_n$ of the bubble size $r$ in the slice $n$ (The grayscale is uniformly distributed from light gray at the bottom to black at the top.) The distribution $F_n$ is exponential (linear fit, dotted black-line.) We denote $r_n^*$ the associated characteristic radius. Right: The pictures, from bottom to top, correspond respectively to the slices, $z \in [0.5, 2.5]$ cm, $z \in [5.5, 10.5]$ cm and $z \in [12.5, 14.5]$ cm, respectively.

FIG. 10: Fluid column properties - We report (a) the mean bubble size $r_n^*$, (b) the density $\rho$, (c) the viscosity $\eta_c$, in the limit of low shear-rate $\dot{\gamma}$, and (d) the fluid-threshold $\sigma_c$ along the column height $z$. Each point corresponds to an average over one slice (thickness 2 cm, horizontal dashed-lines).

3. Rheological properties in presence of bubbles

Using the same experimental protocol as in the section A1, we determined, for each slice, the rheological properties of the fluid, charged with bubbles. We observe that the bubbles lead to the appearance of a significant mem-
ory effect (Fig. 11): the shear stress does not reach the steady-state value instantaneously but after a significant characteristic time, $\tau_0$, which we estimate from the experimental data to be about 1 min for the largest bubble density (at the top of the column). In contrast, we observe that the shear-thinning properties of the fluid are not qualitatively altered (Fig. 11, inset). Note finally that the presence of the bubbles leads to a significant overpressure and, thus, the formation of a thin channel. Moreover, once the channel has formed, there is no flow of the fluid at the walls and the yield stress which allows the emission of gas at a small overpressure and, thus, the formation of a thin channel in the fluid. Moreover, once the channel has formed, the yield stress at the channel walls exactly balances the yield stress. Hence, $\tau_0 \approx 25 \text{ Pa}$ is roughly constant along the column height. Moreover, we observe that the data obtained for increasing and decreasing shear rate (arrows) do not superpose: the presence of the bubbles leads to a significant memory effect (The symbols, from light gray to black, correspond respectively to the slices, $z \in [0.5, 2.5]$ cm, $z \in [3.5, 5.5]$ cm, $z \in [8.5, 10.5]$ cm and $z \in [12.5, 14.5]$ cm, where $z$ denotes the altitude. Imposed shear-rate, equilibration time $\Delta t = 15 \text{ s}$).

**APPENDIX B: ESTIMATE OF $\tau_0^*$**

In order to account, at least at a rough estimate, for the observation of a characteristic time of the bubbling regime, we propose that a channel can form and remain stable if the shear-stress imposed by the inner gas flow inside, one can estimate the shear stress applied to the wall by the Poiseuille flow inside, one can estimate the shear stress imposed by the bubble gel solution ($\sigma_c \approx 35 \text{ Pa}$).

\[
\tau_0^* = -\tau_0 \ln \left[ 1 - \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_b} \frac{\tau_0}{\tau_b} \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_c}{\sigma_c} \right) \right]
\]

where we defined $\tau_0^* \equiv n \tau$. This latter equation can be rewritten:

\[
\tau_0^* \approx -\tau_0 \ln \left[ Q_c \left( \frac{1}{Q} - \frac{1}{Q^*} \right) \right]
\]

where, by definition,

\[
Q_c \equiv \frac{\tau_b V_b}{\tau_0 \tau_b} \quad \text{and} \quad Q^* \equiv \frac{Q_c}{1 + Q_c/Q^*}
\]

with $Q^+ \equiv \frac{\tau_0^* \sigma_c}{4 \eta_0}$. Note that $\tau_0^*$ ($> 0$) is thus predicted to be defined in a finite range $Q \in [Q^*, Q^+]$ of the flow rate and, in agreement with the experimental observations, not to depend on the height of the fluid column.

From the interpolation of the experimental data (Fig. 5), $Q_c \approx 0.35 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$, $Q^+ \approx 0.29 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$ and $\tau_0 \approx 65 \text{ s}$. First, we observe that $\tau_0$ is found to be in reasonable agreement with the estimation obtained in the fluid is at rest and the yield stress, $\sigma_y$, relaxes toward its asymptotic value $\sigma_c$ over the characteristic time $\tau_0$ according to

\[
\tau_0 \dot{\sigma}_y + \sigma_y = \sigma_c.
\]

where $\tau_0$ is an intrinsic property of the fluid. On the other hand, due to the large shear stress imposed by the rise of the bubble, $\sigma_y$ tends to vanish according to

\[
\tau_0 \dot{\sigma}_y + \sigma_y = 0.
\]
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rheology experiment. Second, from the time difference between successive bubbles in the pressure signal (Fig. 2), we obtain the typical bubble-volume \( V_b \approx 2 \text{ cm}^3 \) and, accordingly, the typical radius \( r_b \approx 0.8 \text{ cm} \). We estimate the rise time of the bubble along the whole column height (8 cm) to be about 1 s and, thus, \( t_b \approx 0.2 \text{ s} \) (about 2\( r_b/v_b \)), the diameter divided by the rise velocity, \( v_b \approx 8 \text{ cm/s} \). With this latter value of \( t_b \) and the experimental \( Q_c \), we obtain \( r_b \approx 2.3 \text{ s} \), which is a reasonable value if one considers that the shear rate imposed by the rising bubble is large, of about \( 1/t_b = 5 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Note, in addition, that the imposed stress is of about \( \rho g r_b \approx 80 \text{ Pa} \) where \( \rho \) denotes the density of the fluid and \( g \) the acceleration due to the gravity.) From \( Q^* \approx 1.7 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s} \) and \( \sigma_c \approx 25 \text{ Pa} \) (Fig. 10), one can estimate the channel radius \( r_c \approx 0.1 \text{ mm} \), which is also in agreement with the experimental observation of a very thin channel, a fraction of millimeter in diameter. At last, it would be interesting to understand the mechanism that selects the channel radius (competition between the elasticity and the surface tension?) but the problem is out of the scope of this appendix.

From the proposed mechanism, one can also understand why the small bubbles quickly invade the system when \( Q \) is about \( Q^* \) (i.e., \( Q_b \approx Q^* \), Sec. II B). Indeed, on the one hand, in the bubbling regime, the pinch-off of the bubble emitted at the nozzle [38], the coalescence of two bubbles in the bulk ([39], Sec. III) and the bursting of a bubble at the free surface [31] are likely to leave a small satellite (bubble) [40]. We can thus guess that each rising bubble leaves one or two small bubbles. On the other hand, in the flue regime, the number of small bubbles left by the channel collapse is about the column height divided by the wavelength that develops (Rayleigh instability [42]). In our experimental conditions, we estimate from direct visual observation that the wavelength is about 1 cm (much larger than the channel diameter) and, thus, that each collapse of a channel produces about 10 small bubbles. The channel collapse is thus much more efficient in the production of small bubbles. By definition of \( Q^\ast \), at the transition, the channel is marginally stable and then frequently collapses. As a conclusion, \( Q_b \approx Q^\ast \).

[39] Please note that the formation of satellite by bubble
pinch-off and bubble coalescence has been studied in the case of a Newtonian fluid and is still an active field of research [38, 39, 41]; This topic still remains to be fully characterized in the case of non-Newtonian fluids.