

# On commuting billiards in higher dimensions

Alexey Glutsyuk

► **To cite this version:**

| Alexey Glutsyuk. On commuting billiards in higher dimensions. 2018. ensl-01964943

**HAL Id: ensl-01964943**

**<https://hal-ens-lyon.archives-ouvertes.fr/ensl-01964943>**

Submitted on 24 Dec 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# On commuting billiards in higher dimensions

Alexey Glutsyuk <sup>\*†‡</sup>

August 1, 2018

## Abstract

We consider two nested billiards in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , with smooth strictly convex boundaries. We prove that if the corresponding actions by reflections on the space of oriented lines commute, then the billiards are confocal ellipsoids. This together with the previous analogous result of the author in two dimensions solves completely the Commuting Billiard Conjecture due to Sergei Tabachnikov. The main result is deduced from the classical theorem due to Marcel Berger saying that in higher dimensions only quadrics may have caustics.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega_a \Subset \Omega_b \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be two nested bounded domains with smooth strictly convex boundaries  $a = \partial\Omega_a$  and  $b = \partial\Omega_b$ . Consider the corresponding billiard transformations  $\sigma_a, \sigma_b$  acting on the space of oriented lines in the plane by reflection as follows. Each  $\sigma_g$ ,  $g = a, b$ , acts as identity on the lines disjoint from  $g$ . For each oriented line  $l$  intersecting  $g$  we take its last intersection point  $x$  with  $g$  in the sense of orientation: the orienting arrow of the line  $l$  at  $x$  is directed outside  $\Omega_g$ . The image  $\sigma_g(l)$  is the line obtained by reflection of the line  $l$  from the hyperplane  $T_x g$ : the angle of incidence equals the angle of reflection. The line  $\sigma_g(l)$  is oriented by a tangent vector at  $x$  directed inside  $\Omega_g$ . This is a continuous mapping that is smooth on the space of lines intersecting  $g$  transversely.

Recall, see, e.g., [2, 12], that a pencil of *confocal quadrics* in a Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a one-dimensional family of quadrics defined in some orthogonal

---

<sup>\*</sup>CNRS, France (UMR 5669 (UMPA, ENS de Lyon) and UMI 2615 (Interdisciplinary Scientific Center J.-V.Poncelet)), Lyon, France. E-mail: aglutsyu@ens-lyon.fr

<sup>†</sup>National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE), Moscow, Russia

<sup>‡</sup>Supported by part by RFBR grants 16-01-00748 and 16-01-00766

coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  by equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^2}{a_j^2 + \lambda} = 1; \quad a_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ are fixed; } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ is the parameter.}$$

It is known that *any two confocal elliptic or ellipsoidal billiards* commute [12, p.59, corollary 4.6], [13, p.58]. Sergei Tabachnikov stated the conjecture affirming the converse: any two commuting nested billiards are confocal ellipses (ellipsoids) [13, p.58]. In two dimensions his conjecture was proved by the author of the present paper in [6, theorem 5.21, p.231] for piecewise  $C^4$ -smooth boundaries. Here we prove it in higher dimensions.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let two nested strictly convex  $C^2$ -smooth closed hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  be such that the corresponding billiard transformations commute. Then they are confocal ellipsoids.*

In the next section Theorem 1.1 will be deduced from a classical theorem due to Marcel Berger concerning billiards in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , which states that only billiards bounded by quadrics may have caustics, see the next definition. In Subsection 3 we state and prove a tangential local version of Theorem 1.1.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $a, b$  be two nested strictly convex closed hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a \Subset \Omega_b$ , see the notations at the beginning of the paper. We say that  $a$  is a *caustic* for the hypersurface  $b$ , if the image of each oriented line tangent to  $a$  by the reflection  $\sigma_b$  is again a line tangent to  $a$ .

**Example 1.3** It is well-known that if  $a, b$  are two confocal ellipses (ellipsoids), then the smaller one is a caustic for the bigger one. In the plane this is the classical Proclus–Poncelet theorem. In higher dimensions this theorem is due to Jacobi, see [11, p.80].

Commuting billiards are closely related to problems of classification of integrable billiards, see [13]. It is known that elliptic billiards and billiards in ellipsoids are integrable, see [14, proposition 4], [12, chapter 4], and this also holds for non-Euclidean ellipsoids in sphere and in the Lobachensky (hyperbolic) space of any dimension, see [15, the corollary on p. 95]. The famous Birkhoff Conjecture states that in two dimensions the converse is true. Namely, it deals with the so-called *Birkhoff caustic-integrable* convex planar billiards with smooth boundary, that is, billiards for which there exists a foliation by closed caustics in an interior neighborhood of the boundary. It

states that the only Birkhoff caustic-integrable billiards are ellipses. Birkhoff Conjecture was first stated in print in Poritsky's paper [10], who proved it in loc. cit. under the additional assumption that the billiard in each closed caustic near the boundary has the same closed caustics, as the initial billiard. Poritsky's assumption implies that *the initial billiard map commutes with the billiard in any closed caustic*; this follows by the arguments presented in [12, pp.58–59]. One of the most famous results on Birkhoff Conjecture is a theorem of M.Bialy, who proved that if the phase cylinder of the billiard map is foliated (almost everywhere) by non-contractible closed curves which are invariant under the billiard map, then the boundary is a circle. A local version of Birkhoff Conjecture, for integrable deformations of ellipses was recently solved in [1, 9]. Its recently solved algebraic version is a result of papers [3, 4, 7], see also a short version [8] of the preprint [7]. For a historical survey of Birkhoff Conjecture see [12, p.95] and papers [9, 7] and references therein. Dynamics in billiards in two and higher dimensions with piecewise smooth boundary consisting of confocal quadrics was studied in [5].

## 2 Commuting billiards and caustics: proof of Theorem 1.1

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . Let two nested strictly convex  $C^2$ -smooth closed hypersurfaces  $a, b \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a \Subset \Omega_b$  (see the notations at the beginning of the paper) be such that the corresponding billiard transformations  $\sigma_a$  and  $\sigma_b$  commute. Then  $a$  is a caustic for the hypersurface  $b$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\Pi_a$  denote the open subset of lines in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that are disjoint from the hypersurface  $a$ . Its boundary  $\partial\Pi_a$  consists of those lines that are tangent to  $a$ . A line  $L$  is fixed by  $\sigma_a$ , if and only if  $L \in \overline{\Pi}_a$ , i.e.,  $L$  is either disjoint from  $a$ , or tangent to  $a$ . In this case  $\sigma_b\sigma_a(L) = \sigma_b(L) = \sigma_a\sigma_b(L)$ , and thus,  $\sigma_b(L)$  is a fixed point of the transformation  $\sigma_a$ . This implies that  $\sigma_b(\overline{\Pi}_a) \subset \overline{\Pi}_a$ . The subset  $\Pi_a$  is invariant under two transformations acting on oriented lines: the reflection  $\sigma_b$  and the transformation  $J$  of the orientation change. The transformations  $J$  and  $J \circ \sigma_b$  are involutions, thus sending  $\Pi_a$  to itself. Therefore,  $J(\Pi_a) = J \circ \sigma_b(\Pi_a) = \Pi_a$ . Hence,  $\sigma_b(\Pi_a) = \Pi_a$ , and thus,  $\sigma_b(\partial\Pi_a) = \partial\Pi_a$ . The latter equality means exactly that  $a$  is a caustic for the hypersurface  $b$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

As it is shown below, Theorem 1.1 is implied by Proposition 2.1 and the following theorem due to M.Berger.

**Theorem 2.2** [2] *Let  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $S, U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be germs of  $C^2$ -smooth hypersurfaces at points  $B$  and  $A$  respectively with non-degenerate second fundamental forms. Let the affine tangent line  $T_A U$  go through  $B$  transversely to  $S$ . Let there exist a germ of  $C^2$ -smooth hypersurface  $V$  such that for every point  $x \in U$  close to  $A$  the image of the affine tangent line  $T_x U$  under the reflection from the hypersurface  $S$  be tangent to  $V$ . Then  $S$  is a piece of a quadric  $a$ , and  $U, V$  are pieces of one and the same quadric confocal to  $a$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $a, b \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the nested hypersurfaces under question with commuting billiard transformations,  $a \Subset \Omega_b$ . Then  $a$  is a caustic for the hypersurface  $b$ , by Proposition 2.1. This means that for every points  $B \in b$  and  $A \in a$  such that the line  $AB$  is tangent to  $a$  at  $A$  the image  $\sigma_b(AB)$  of the line  $AB$  (oriented from  $A$  to  $B$ ) is a line through  $B$  tangent to  $a$ . Recall that  $a$  and  $b$  are strictly convex, which implies that their second fundamental forms are sign-definite and thus, non-degenerate. Therefore, for every  $A$  and  $B$  as above the germs at  $A$  and  $B$  of the hypersurfaces  $U = a$  and  $S = b$  respectively satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2, with  $V$  being the germ of the hypersurface  $a$  at its point  $D$  of tangency with the line  $\sigma_b(AB)$ . Hence, for every  $A$  and  $B$  as above the germ  $(S, B)$  lies in a quadric, and the germs  $(U, A), (V, D)$  lie in one and the same quadric confocal to  $S$ . This implies that  $b$  is a quadric, and  $a$  is a quadric confocal to  $b$ . Theorem 1.1 is proved.  $\square$

### 3 A tangential local version of Theorem 1.1

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $(U, A), (S, B), (V, D)$  be germs of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at points  $A, B$  and  $D$ , and let  $U$  and  $S$  have non-degenerate second fundamental forms. For every  $G = U, S, V$  consider the action of the reflection  $\sigma_G$  on the oriented lines that intersect  $G$ , defined as at the beginning of the paper. Let the affine tangent line  $L_0 = T_A U$  go through  $B$  transversely to  $S$  (we orient it from  $A$  to  $B$ ), and let its image  $\sigma_S(L_0)$  be tangent to  $V$  at  $D$ . Let  $W$  be a small neighborhood of the line  $L_0$  in the space of oriented lines; in particular, each line in  $W$  intersects  $S$ . Let  $\Pi_W \subset W$  denote the subset of those lines that intersect  $U$ . Let for every  $L \in \Pi_W$  the image  $\sigma_S(L)$  intersect  $V$ : thus, the compositions  $\sigma_S \circ \sigma_U$  and  $\sigma_V \circ \sigma_S$  are well-defined on  $\Pi_W$ . Let the latter compositions be identically equal on  $\Pi_W$ . Then  $S$  lies in a quadric  $b$ , and  $U, V$  lie in one and the same quadric confocal to  $b$ .*

**Proof** Every line  $L$  tangent to  $U$  and close enough to  $L_0$  lies in  $\Pi_W$ . Its

image  $\sigma_U(L)$  is tangent to  $V$ . Indeed,  $\sigma_S \circ \sigma_U(L) = \sigma_S(L) = \sigma_V \circ \sigma_S(L)$ . Thus, the line  $\sigma_S(L)$  intersects  $V$  and is invariant under the reflection from  $V$ . Hence, it is tangent to  $V$  (at the last point of its intersection with  $V$ ). Finally, the germs of hypersurfaces  $U$ ,  $S$  and  $V$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Therefore,  $S$  lies in a quadric  $b$ , and  $U$ ,  $V$  lie in one and the same quadric confocal to  $b$ , by Theorem 2.2. This proves Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## 4 Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Sergei Tabachnikov for attracting my attention to his Commuting Billiard Conjecture. I am grateful to Etienne Ghys, who informed me about Berger's Theorem 2.2.

## References

- [1] Avila, A.; De Simoi, J.; Kaloshin, V. *An integrable deformation of an ellipse of small eccentricity is an ellipse*. Ann. of Math. (2) **184** (2016), no. 2, 527–558.
- [2] Berger, M. *Seules les quadriques admettent des caustiques*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **123** (1995), 107–116.
- [3] Bialy, M.; Mironov, A. *Angular billiard and algebraic Birkhoff conjecture*. Adv. in Math. **313** (2017), 102–126.
- [4] Bialy, M.; Mironov, A. *Algebraic Birkhoff conjecture for billiards on Sphere and Hyperbolic plane*. J. Geom. Phys., **115** (2017), 150–156.
- [5] Dragovich, V.; Radnovich, M. *Integrable billiards and quadrics*. Russian Math. Surveys **65** (2010), no. 2, 319–379.
- [6] Glutsyuk, A. *On 4-reflective complex analytic planar billiards*. J. Geom. Analysis, **27** (2017), 183–238.
- [7] Glutsyuk, A.A. *On polynomially integrable billiards on surfaces of constant curvature*. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.04030>
- [8] Glutsyuk, A.A. *On two-dimensional polynomially integrable billiards on surfaces of constant curvature*. To appear in Doklady Akademii Nauk **481** (6) (2018) (in Russian); translated to English as Doklady Mathematics.

- [9] Kaloshin, V.; Sorrentino, A. *On local Birkhoff Conjecture for convex billiards*. Ann. of Math., **188** (2018), No. 1, 315–380.
- [10] Poritsky, H. *The billiard ball problem on a table with a convex boundary – an illustrative dynamical problem*. Ann. of Math. (2) **51** (1950), 446–470.
- [11] Staude, O. *III, 22, Quadriques*. Encyclopédie des Sciences Mathématiques, Teubner, Gauthier-Villars, J.Gabay, 1904–1992, 1–162.
- [12] Tabachnikov, S., *Geometry and Billiards*. Amer. Math. Soc. 2005.
- [13] Tabachnikov, S., *Commuting dual billiard maps*. Geometriae Dedicata, **53** (1994), 57–68.
- [14] Veselov, A. P. *Integrable systems with discrete time, and difference operators*. Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** (1988), No. 2, 83–93.
- [15] Veselov, A.P. *Confocal surfaces and integrable billiards on the sphere and in the Lobachevsky space*. J. Geom. Phys., **7** (1990), Issue 1, 81–107.