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Episcopal Biographies and Religious Historiography of the French Contemporary Period

Christian Sorrel

Pierre Bourdieu’s phrase “The biographical illusion” shows that contemporary history is marked by the questioning of a genre that is much appreciated by readers.1 Having undergone a transformation from the 1960s, religious history has not evaded the questioning that first affected episcopal biography, even though the discipline was being renewed.2 In the context of conciliar reforms, historians of Catholicism gave priority to the study of the Christian people and highlighted laymen or simple priests. Still, the episcopate as a body returned to the forefront with the success of the prosopography of élites,3 and in its wake new biographical projects were born to extend beyond the thanklessness of statistical investigation and to analyse decisive moments. Episcopal biography has thus become fully legitimate again in its search for the individual behind the group and by articulating two times: a life and a society.4

* * *

Born in the 4th century, ecclesiastical history long was the mere story of episcopal suc-
cessions, and 19th-century France confirmed this orientation by formalizing the genre
of episcopal biography. Usually written by someone close to the bishop, either a col-
laborator or a relative, and published with the approval of his successor, it follows the
“hero” from childhood to death in a rather hagiographical tone – with some nuances.
From the early 20th century though, the formula fell out of use as a result of the crises
of Catholicism, the reshuffle of the episcopal body wanted by the papacy, the decline
of ecclesiastical scholarship, and the lack of money for publishing. But the biographi-
cal approach did not disappear and Catholic historians adopted it during the interwar
period in order to develop a history of the Church subject to new scientific criteria.
Most of them were priests who presented their research within a state doctoral thesis
and were integrated into the community of historians (Jean Leflon, Ernest Sevrin,
Charles Ledré, and Paul Droulers). Some were laymen, university professors, or high
school teachers (André Latreille and Roger Limouzin-Lamothe). All of them turned
towards the study of the first half of the 19th century, allowing them to avoid recent
issues (law of separation, modernism), but also to analyse their roots (Concordat, gal-
licanism, ultramontanism, and social question) by cross-referencing both public and
diocesan archives. Sometimes diversifying by abandoning the global story for a more
specific approach – diplomacy for Cardinal Fesch, enforcement of the Concordat
for Cardinal Cambacérès, and the social question for Cardinal d'Astros – biography
therefore appears central in the methodological convergence between ecclesiastical
and university history. However, in the 1960s and 1970s, it could not avoid criticism
by researchers who were keen to develop a non-denominational religious history in
dialogue with other fields of history and other humanities or social sciences.

“Religious biography”, according to the authors of the 1975 reference book, is
“deeply conservative, less by the historian’s treatment of the sources than by the fact
that they reinforce the prejudices of an immediate reading of history, and more spe-
cifically the idea that most bishops or laymen were generally selected because of non-
religious and often political activities”.

---

episcopal biography: a criticism of institutional and political history in line with the École des Annales, an interest in the Christian people related to Fernand Boulard’s pastoral sociology and the promotion of laymen by the Second Vatican Council, an affirmation of the history of mentalities, and a project of serial analysis in the long term. And those who specialize in Catholicism are by no means indifferent to the criticism of historians and sociologists who see biography as “an anachronistic and limited model of rationality” marked by the “excess of sense and coherence”, the “illusion of the all-out relevance of a particular experience”, and the “utopic quest for an integral knowledge of the individual”. When referring to Michel de Certeau, the authors of the 1975 reference book subsequently conclude to the necessity of changing “the very place of biography”: “The choice [of the figures] must be the result […] of a pre-existent problematic linked to a serial study. In return, the biographical approach, by introducing a necessary distance, somehow sets the qualitative boundaries of the field of investigation and also reveals, as a counterpoint to explicative schemes, the irreducible part of history.”

During its rehabilitation at universities, biography as a genre therefore acquired a previously unattained relevance in connection with the “rediscovery” of political history and the renewal of less class-centred social history studies. As early as 1968, Jean-Marie Mayeur had demonstrated just that with his “Lemire”, a portrait of the leading figure of the “abbés democrats” of the “Leo XIII generation”. In it, he focused on the study of an intellectual career to understand the relationship between “intransigent” thought and Christian democracy, while avoiding the trap of reducing the biography to a pretext describing an era. Indeed, he assigned his character with “the central and dominant place in a network of connections with his environment and his time”: “the knowledge of an era is essential to understanding a man. Conversely, the troubles of a time are reflected in the mirror of an existence”. After Jean-Marie Mayeur, some historians turned towards the study of priests and notables (Maret, future bishop in partibus, Michon, Portal, and de Mun). This allowed a re-evaluation of intellectual and political stakes in the 19th century (neo-gallicanism, liberal Catholicism, the Vatican Council, the Ralliement). However, the historians ignored the active bishops in of-

13 Jean-Hippolyte Michon (Claude Savart, 1971), Henri Maret (Andrea Riccardi, 1976; Claude
fice in dioceses, with two exceptions: Xavier de Montclos, whose thesis on Lavigerie benefited from a congregation’s mobilization in favour of its founder and announced the mutations of the biographical genre, and Marc Agostino, who retraced the career of Lecot, archbishop of Bordeaux, who rallied to the Republic.\textsuperscript{14}

Bishops did not disappear from the historiography of Catholicism, but they were mainly included through diocesan monographs, which were triumphing and which valued the study of the simple clergy and the congregation.\textsuperscript{15} While contemporary biographies elaborated on intellectual careers, monographs focused more on pastoral action by presenting humble characters, without always respecting the chronology of episcopacies. They also drew attention to the episcopal body as a whole – even though it had no legal existence before the mid-20th century – and facilitated the reception of the prosopographical method, borrowed from the study of previous centuries: “it presupposes a serial analysis, and highlights the individual and the exceptional only to bring out the collective and the norm by contrast.”\textsuperscript{16}

This approach, which cannot always be properly used because collecting homogeneous data is time-consuming, triumphs in Jacques-Olivier Boudon’s exemplary investigation on the recruitment of Concordat bishops, and is continued in Séverine Blenner’s reflection on the extent to which the bishops’ way of life and action matched the Roman model.\textsuperscript{17} Moreover, it inspired the presentation of bishops in the early Third Republic and the analysis of their political discourse by Jacques Gadille.\textsuperscript{18} The approach also guided works on the 20th-century episcopate, without being completely implemented: the study of the episcopal body between 1905 and 1962 (limited by the fact that only printed sources were studied), the sociological survey of the bishops in office in the 1970s and 1980s based on their answers to an anonymous questionnaire,
the identification of a Great War generation who governed dioceses from 1930 to 1960, and the analysis of the episcopal discourse at the beginning of John Paul II’s papacy.\textsuperscript{19} At the same time, dictionaries prepared or continued the prosopographical approach by gathering source material and ordering it into brief portraits that brought life to the bishops, acknowledging their singularities, despite how disparate the documentation and the writing of notices were.\textsuperscript{20}

It is in the context of this renewed interest for episcopal staff – seen as a Church and society élite – that from 1990 university researchers rediscovered episcopal biography, without abandoning the other actors (secular priests, men and women religious, and notables)\textsuperscript{21}. “Writing the biography of a bishop is no longer anachronistic”, wrote Gérard Cholvy in 2007, one of the advocates of the new religious history, with its recent production centred on two major moments: the crises of the early 20th century and the Second World War.\textsuperscript{22}

The first group of new episcopal biographies consists of four works, published between 2002 and 2007. Three of them are about prelates named at the end of the Concordat era and who remained at the margins of the French episcopate because of their position on the Separation of Church and State and on modernism.\textsuperscript{23} Mgr Mignot, bishop of Fréjus then archbishop of Albi, won recognition for his intellectual stature that allowed him to master the theological issues of exegesis and to rethink the relationship between the Church and modernity. Mgr Lacroix, bishop of Tarentaise,

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{21} Louis Duchesne (Brigitte Waché, 1992), Emile Anizan (Jean-Yves Moy, 1997), Augustin de Lestrange (Augustin-Hervé Laffay, 1998), Paul Donceur (Dominique Avon, 2001), Marie Odiot de La Paillolé (Dominique-Marie Dauzet, 2001), Hélène de Chappotin (Marcel Lannay, 2001), Frédéric Ozanam (Gérard Cholvy, 2003 and 2012), Marie-Joseph Lagrange (Bernard Montagnes, 2004), Georges Goyau (Jérôme Grondeux, 2007), Frère Exupérien (Gérard Cholvy, 2008), Marie-Benoît de Bourg d’Iré (Gérard Cholvy, 2010), Jean Guiraud (Aurore Deglaire, 2013).
\end{itemize}
a historian rather than a theologian, a journalist and a political intermediary, shared the same concern of reconciling Catholicism and society – so much that he presented himself as “the bishop of modernists”. Mgr Le Camus, bishop of La Rochelle, trod more carefully in the field of exegesis that he knew well, but did not lack boldness on a political level. The three of them soon became suspect for the Roman curia, and Lacroix had to resign in 1907 to avoid being deposed. Therefore, the biographies of these figures allow the renewal of knowledge of political and intellectual issues in Catholicism around 1900, by cross-referencing dossiers that were long treated separately and by creating a reflection on the deviation from standard. The same goes for the more central figure of the Concordat episcopate, Cardinal de Cabrières, whose longevity – he was bishop of Montpellier from 1873 to 1921 – allows Gérard Cholvy to read contemporary religious history differently, while still granting the religious life of the diocese a more prominent place than had previous works.24

The contrast between the bishop’s personal path and the experience of his diocesans also varies widely in the second group of recent biographies that study prelates promoted by Pius XI, who wished to re-orientate the Church of France after the condemnation of the Action française.25 Whether the subjects of the biographies were major figures (Liénart, Gerlier, Suhard, Saliège) or lesser-known ones (Rémond, Théas) whose careers sometimes stretched as long as after the Second Vatican Council, they all played a major role during the Second World War. The latter largely justifies the authors’ choice to sometimes isolate the chronological sequence, and explains why the books were debated. There are many controversial questions – support of the Vichy government, attitude towards the Jews, the résistants and the workers sent to Germany – and the analysis is sometimes stained by ideological presuppositions (Suhard).

Although the episcopal biography is being renewed, it does have limits. It has only partially covered the 19th century,26 and figures of the Concordat era such as Mgr

24 Cholvy supra n. 22, p. 519.
Dupanloup, bishop of Orléans, or Mgr Freppel, bishop of Angers, have only been the subject of very brief studies. The second half of the 20th century is no better studied, except for the portrait of an auxiliary.27 Yet, this period is marked by the evolutions spurred by the Second Vatican Council, described by actors in their memoirs.28 But public archives have been of little use since the “administration des cultes” disappeared (formerly under the Minister of Worship), and there is variable access to ecclesiastical archives, which do not always hold a wealth of information. This is particularly the case for bishops who ended their career within the Roman curia and whose papers are protected by the strict rules of the Archivio segreto vaticano (Villot, Garrone). In contrast, Etienne Fouilloux’s remarkable biography of Cardinal Tisserant, in office in Rome from 1908 to 1972, was only possible because the prelate had chosen to transfer his private papers to France.29

Obviously, the quantity and nature of available sources (printed sources, letters, diaries) have an impact on the orientation of episcopal biographies. But many historians apparently still hesitate to explore the prelates’ spiritual life and favour the study of their pastoral or political action. Is it from a lack of competence on delicate matters? A difficulty to comprehend implicit choices? A will to legitimate the historical investigation by centering it on the Church and society? A mediocre reputation of the episcopate, from which only one member – Eugène de Mazenod, bishop of Marseille and founder of the Missionary Oblates of Mary Immaculate – was canonized in two centuries?30 The explanations may be many. But the question of the internal life and its link with public action, tackled by hagiographers in the 19th century, cannot be ignored any longer by 21st-century historians.31 The same goes for the episcopal style, rarely described despite the changes associated with the Second Vatican Council, or for the construction of the prelates’ memory.32 By following these paths and without

---

claiming any dominant position, the episcopal biography may contribute to the permanence and renewal of a history of Catholicism, at a time when authors increasingly turn to the study of spirituality, liturgy, and prayer.33

***

The biographical genre, once discredited, regained a significant place in French historiography. Religious history followed, first by turning to priests and laymen, before returning to the long-favoured bishops. The parallel affirmation of prosopography and of a renewed biographical approach reveals the tension and complementarity existing in the religious field (as in other sectors) between collective social facts and individual paths, in their irreducible singularity or representativeness. In this sense, the episcopal biography, free from the “obstacles behind which fake problems blocked it”, confronts the historian “in a particularly acute and complex way” with the main requirements of his profession: “a real biography”, writes Jacques Le Goff, “is primarily the life of an individual, and the legitimacy of the historical genre is achieved through respect of this goal – presenting and explaining an individual life within history, but a history that new historiographical conceptions shed light on.”34

---
