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ABSTRACT
Temporal networks describe a large variety of systems having a temporal evolution. Characterization and visualization of their evolution are often an issue especially when the amount of data becomes huge. We propose here an approach based on the duality between graphs and signals. Temporal networks are represented at each time instant by a collection of signals, whose spectral analysis reveals connection between frequency features and structure of the network. We use nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) to find these frequency features and track them over time. Transforming back these features into subgraphs reveals the underlying structures which form a decomposition of the temporal network.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Many systems associated to networks, whether physical, biological or social, can be described by graphs [1]. The study of the structure of these graphs helps us to describe, understand and predict the behavior of systems. Often, these systems are not frozen but have a time evolution, e.g. associated connections which appear and disappear over time, or apparition or disappearance of nodes. We study here temporal networks where the edges are changing with times, keeping the same given set of nodes (possibly isolated).

Temporal networks have been introduced and studied in different fields under various names. Holmes and Saramäki [2] proposed a review about temporal networks which includes discussion about the different types of temporal networks, the measures of the structure and the associated models. Casteigts et al. [3] also reviewed some works about dynamic networks with a graph theory approach. Evolving graphs were also considered as models for social networks [4] or networks with information spreading [5]. As for visualization, Xu et al. [6] proposed a method based on multidimensional scaling (MDS). The temporal networks considered in this work consist in a series over time of snapshots of graphs, which are static at a given instant of time.

We propose a new approach based on the duality between graphs and signals to study temporal networks. Duality between graphs and signals consists in the transformation from (static) graphs to signals and inversely, in order to study either signals thanks to graph theory or graphs with signal processing. Campanharo et al. [7] proposed a method where the graphs represent a Markov chain coding the signals. Haraguchi et al. [8] and later Shimada et al. [9] proposed a method to transform graphs into time series using classical multidimensional scaling (CMDS). We previously extended this approach in order to highlight relations between the structure of a graph and the associated frequency patterns, as well as to visually track temporal networks by following such patterns [10]. This method has been exploited to build approximation of the graph over time with an application to the study of a bike sharing system in Lyon [11][12]. The novelty here is to decompose a whole temporal network, first by obtaining frequency patterns by duality and then by using nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) [13] to decompose these local spectra of the temporal network into features associated with weights evolving over time.

Section 2 recalls the duality mapping from graphs to signals. In Section 3 we extend the method to temporal networks using NMF and explain how the features are obtained. Section 4 gives an example on a controlled model of synthetic temporal network. A conclusion is given in Section 5.

2. FROM NETWORKS TO SIGNALS
Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a simple undirected and unweighted graph with \( n \) nodes. We note \((A_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,n}\) its adjacency matrix.

2.1. Principle
Shimada et al. [9] proposed a method to transform a graph into a collection of signals of \( n \) points indexed by the vertices of the graph by using classical multidimensional scaling (CMDS).

This transformation consists in applying CMDS to a matrix distance between vertices of a graph, noted \( \Delta = (\delta_{ij})_{i,j=1,...,n} \) and defined for two nodes \( i,j \) of \( \mathcal{G} \) by:

\[
\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } i = j \\
1 & \text{if } a_{ij} = 1 \text{ and } i \neq j \\
w > 1 & \text{if } a_{ij} = 0 \text{ and } i \neq j 
\end{cases}
\]

Following [9], we choose \( w = 1.1 \).

Multidimensional scaling (MDS) [14] is a set of mathematical techniques used to represent measurements of dissimilarity among pairs of objects as distances between points in a multidimensional space whose dimension is low. Classical MDS is a particular case of metric MDS where the dissimilarities are assumed to be Euclidean distances. The matrix \( X \) of coordinates in the low-dimensional, transformed space can be computed analytically. Starting with the
We propose in this section to extend the transformation towards temporal network and use nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) to catch these features and follow their level of activation over time. We finally exhibit a method to build subgraphs from these features in order to visualize the graph properties highlighted by NMF. Table 1 summarizes the steps of our procedure, and the elements we detailed in this section.

3. TEMPORAL NETWORK AND NMF

The duality between networks and signals defined in the previous section enables us to link frequency features with graph properties. We propose in this section to extend the transformation towards temporal network and use nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) to catch these features and follow their level of activation over time. We finally exhibit a method to build subgraphs from these features in order to visualize the graph properties highlighted by NMF. Table 1 summarizes the steps of our procedure, and the elements we detailed in this section.

3.1. Transformation of temporal networks

We associate to a temporal network a dynamic graph defined as a succession of graphs. We note $G_t$ the graph at time $t$ for $t = 0, \ldots, T - 1$ where $T$ is the duration of the considered evolution. $G_t$ consists of all the nodes and edges activated at time $t$. We then define the transformation of such a temporal network into signals as the transformation of $G_t$ for all $t = 0, \ldots, T - 1$. We note $X_t$, for $t = 0, \ldots, T - 1$ the collection of signals obtained at time $t$.

We assume that the number of components $K$ and so the number of frequencies $F$ is the same at each time step. This assumption can be easily achieved by fixing the number of components as the maximal number of nodes and zero-padding the missing components. We can now define for each component $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$, this amplitude reads as:

$$S(k, f) = |\mathcal{F}X^{(k)}(f)|$$

estimated, for positive frequencies, on $F = \frac{n}{2} + 1$ bins, $\mathcal{F}$ being the Fourier transform.

Fig. 1 shows that specific graph properties, such as being regular in degrees (Watts-Strogatz model [1]) or being structured in communities, have specific frequency patterns. A random structure (Erdős-Rényi model [1]) is also visible in the spectrum of the collection of signals as the magnitudes are spread out over all the frequencies.

2.3. Analysis of signals

Let us consider a collection of $K$ signals indexed by $n$ vertices. We use the amplitude spectrum of the Fourier transform. From the magnitude of each frequency for each component $k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$, this amplitude reads as:

$$S(k, f) = |\mathcal{F}X^{(k)}(f)|$$

The inverse transformation from signals to graph is trivial: according to the principle of the CMDS, the distance matrix $D$ between points in the Euclidean space is equal to the distance matrix $\Delta$. The adjacency matrix $A$ derives clearly from $\Delta$.

2.2. Relabeling a graph

Shimada et al. [9] showed that ring lattices are transformed into periodic signals: each component is a monochromatic oscillation whose frequency depends on the index of the component. More generally, it is relevant to use spectral analysis to link frequency features of signals with graph properties. Spectral analysis is nonetheless closely related to the indexation of signals and so to the labeling of the graph. Finding a good labeling of vertices is therefore essential to avoid sudden variations of signals. That means that it is necessary to have close labeling between neighbor vertices, which are defined closer in the distance matrix than unlinked vertices. This problem can be related to another graph labeling problem called cyclic bandwidth sum problem [15]. We proposed in [16] a heuristic that will be used throughout this paper but not explained further.

# Definition of the steps
1. Transformation of $G_t$ into a collection of signals $X_t$
2. Frequency analysis of signals: $V^t = [\mathcal{F}X_t(f)]$
3. NMF: $V \approx WH$
4. Identification of features $W^k$ and levels of activation $H^k$
5. Transformation into a spectrum $\tilde{Y} = W^k e^{i\phi}
6. Inverse Fourier transformation: $Y = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\tilde{Y}$
7. Transformation from the signals $Y$ to a graph $H$

Table 1. Procedure of the analysis of a temporal network. Description of each step is given in Section 3.

Fig. 1. (left) Snapshot of the network. (right) Frequency analysis of the resulting signals. (a) The regularity of the structure is apparent as high values on the diagonal of $S(k, f)$. (b) Structure in communities implies very high magnitudes at low frequencies for the first components. (c) Random structure leads to a spread of magnitudes over all frequencies.
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The CMDS solution is given by $\hat{V} D = \sum_{a=1}^n v_a a$ where $I_{n \times n}$ is the identity matrix and $1_n 1_n^T$ a $n \times n$ matrix of ones. The CMDS solution is given by $X = Q_+ A_+ \Lambda_+^\frac{1}{2}$ with $Q_+$ a diagonal matrix whose terms are the eigenvalues of the matrix $B$ sorted in an increasing order and $Q_+$ is the matrix of the corresponding eigenvectors. The resulting signals are the components (or columns) of the matrix $X$. The $j$-th signal is noted $X^{(j)}$.

The inverse transformation from signals to graph is trivial: according to the principle of the CMDS, the distance matrix $D$ between points in the Euclidean space is equal to the distance matrix $\Delta$. The adjacency matrix $A$ derives clearly from $\Delta$. 

![Diagram of network structures](image)
3.2. Identification of features

Nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) [13] is used to decompose \( S_t(k, f) \) into features and levels of activation over time. NMF can be written as the following problem: given a nonnegative matrix \( V \) of dimension \( A \times T \), find a factorization \( V \approx WH \) where \( W \) and \( H \) are two nonnegative matrices of dimensions respectively \( A \times Q \) and \( Q \times T \), with \( Q \) the number of features (usually small). \( W \) is described as the features matrix and \( H \) the corresponding levels of activation over time. Formally the NMF problem almost comes down to solve:

\[
\min_{W,H} \sum_{a=0}^{A-1} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} d([V]_{at}|[WH]_{at})
\]

subject to \( W \) and \( H \) nonnegative matrices. \( d(x|y) \) is a scalar cost function.

Févote et al. [17] proposed an algorithm to find a solution of the NMF where the cost function is the \( \beta \)-divergence, a parametrized function with a simple parameter \( \beta \) which encompasses the Euclidean distances (\( \beta = 2 \)), the generalized Kullback-Leibler divergence (\( \beta = 1 \)) and the Itakura-Saito divergence (\( \beta = 0 \)) as special cases. Varying \( \beta \) and the number of features \( Q \) has not been here the subject of specific studies. Here \( \beta \) is chosen equal to 1 because of the spectral origin of \( V \). We will adapt \( Q \) to the expected number of features in the example.

We use NMF to find patterns in spectra of the collections of signals obtained from the transformation of the temporal network. At each time \( t \), the \( t \)-th column of \( V^{(t)} \) represents the vectorized representation of \( S_t(k, f) \). \( V \) of dimension \( A \times T \) with \( A = K F \) is taken as input of the algorithm.

3.3. Reconstruction of signals from features

The reconstruction of signals from features is tricky considering that the feature represents only the magnitudes of the spectrum. To obtain a consistent collection of signals, it is necessary to provide some additional information about the phase. The strategy used here is based on the assumption that at some specific time step, the considered feature is the only one activated in the network or is dominant. That means that the level of activation of the considered feature is high while levels of activation of other features are low. That leads to select the time step which maximizes the following criterion: for \( q = 0, \cdots, Q \) and \( t = 0, \cdots, T - 1 \),

\[
\hat{t}_q = \arg \max_{t=0, \cdots, T-1} (H_{qt} - \sum_{p \in (0, \cdots, Q-1) \setminus \{q\}} H_{pt})
\]

Once properly identified, the phase \( \phi \) of the signals representing the graph at step \( \hat{t}_q \) is consistent with the magnitudes and so the most activated feature.

3.4. Reconstruction of graph from features

The transformation from a feature to a graph is complicated because the reconstructed signals are not directly derived from a transformation from graph to signals but have been modified. The distances between points are neither equal to 1 nor to \( w \) but have a distribution whose width can be variable. Under the assumption that if two points have a small distance, then the proximity between these points in the network is high, a reconstruction can be set up such that only the links associated to the smallest distances are retained. A good threshold would be the one which maximizes the separation between the distances which denotes linked pairs of nodes, and the distances which denotes unlinked pairs of nodes. Nonetheless it might be in practice very tough to select such a threshold, except manually. We propose here to use the density of the graph at the best time step as described previously, to select the number of edges. In order to highlight visually the structure, we select a higher number of links (1.5 times the density of the original graph).

4. EXAMPLE

This section illustrates the previous sections on an example of a simulated temporal network which contains specific structures. The aim of this example is to show that the method is able to separate features corresponding to these structures, even in presence of noise or features coupled between them. The analysis of this network follows the steps of the procedure described in Table 1.

4.1. Construction of the temporal network

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\text{Table 2. Probability to have an active link in } E \text{ according to the presence of this link in } E_{t-1}, \text{ the active edges in the temporal network at time } t, \text{ and } E_0, \text{ the set of edges in the prescribed graph.} \\
\hline
\text{ } & e \in E^p & e \notin E^p \\
\hline
e \in E_{t-1} & 1 - 10^{-3} & 0.9 \\
e \notin E_{t-1} & 0.1 & 10^{-3} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 2. Four snapshots of the models used for the generation of the temporal network.
4.2. Steps 1-2-3-4: Transformation into signals and identification of features with NMF

The graph at each time instant is transformed into a collection of signals and frequency analyses are performed to obtain the matrix $V$ with the vectorized spectra at each time at each column. The NMF algorithm is applied to this matrix using $\beta = 1$, the number of features is $Q = 3$ and the number of iterations is 3000.

![Graphs](image)

Fig. 3. (left) Features found using NMF, reshaped in a matrix form to show magnitude of each frequency for each component. Connections between frequency patterns highlighted in Fig. 1: feature 1 with (c), feature 2 with (b) and feature 3 with (a). (right) Levels of activation over time are displayed as well as the four intervals corresponding to the four prescribed models used to build the temporal network.
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Fig. 4. Graphs built from features. (insert) Shape of the distribution of distances. The vertical line indicates the retained threshold. Random structure for feature 1, structure in communities for feature 2 and regular structure for feature 3 are visible.

4.3. Steps 5-6-7: Transformation of features into static graphs

![Graphs](image)

Construction of subgraphs from features enables us to visualize the structure of the temporal network when the features are activated. Fig. 4 displays these subgraphs corresponding to the features. The layout takes into account the links between nodes and enables us to have an overview of the structure of these subgraphs. These structures correspond to the frequency features by similarity with illustrations given in Fig. 1. They also correspond to the models we used to build the graph. NMF is hence able to separate, in a temporal network with three different structures coupled between them, these subgraphs. This gives indication about their time instants of activation. The shape of the distributions of weights used to build the subgraphs is displayed in insert in order to highlight the difficulty to choose a good threshold.

5. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a novel method to track the structure of temporal networks over time using NMF and duality between graphs and signals. At each time, the temporal network is represented by a graph which is transformed into a collection of signals. NMF is used to discover features in the amplitude spectra of these signals; these features, whose levels of activation vary over time, represent a specific structure of the graph. The effectiveness of the method was demonstrated on a simulated temporal networks containing three types of structures.

These results provide insights in the characterization of temporal networks, but also call for further studies, in particular concerning the parameters in the NMF in this context. The extension to a continuous model for temporal networks, where some continuity in time of the transformation into signals, is also worth considering.
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