

Homogenization results for a linear dynamics in random Glauber type environment

Cedric Bernardin

► **To cite this version:**

Cedric Bernardin. Homogenization results for a linear dynamics in random Glauber type environment. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probabilités et Statistiques, Institute Henri Poincaré, 2012, 48 (3), pp.792-818. <10.1214/11-AIHP424>. <ensl-00589674v2>

HAL Id: ensl-00589674

<https://hal-ens-lyon.archives-ouvertes.fr/ensl-00589674v2>

Submitted on 26 Nov 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HOMOGENIZATION RESULTS FOR A LINEAR DYNAMICS IN RANDOM GLAUBER TYPE ENVIRONMENT

CÉDRIC BERNARDIN

ABSTRACT. We consider an energy conserving linear dynamics that we perturb by a Glauber dynamics with random site dependent intensity. We prove hydrodynamic limits for this non-reversible system in random media. The diffusion coefficient turns out to depend on the random field only by its statistics. The diffusion coefficient defined through the Green-Kubo formula is also studied and its convergence to some homogenized diffusion coefficient is proved.

On considère un système d'équations différentielles linéaires couplées conservant une certaine énergie et l'on perturbe ce système par une dynamique de type Glauber dont l'intensité varie aléatoirement site par site. Nous prouvons les limites hydrodynamiques pour ce système non réversible en milieu aléatoire. Le coefficient de diffusion dépend de l'aléa uniquement par sa loi. Nous étudions aussi le coefficient de diffusion défini par la formule de Green-Kubo et montrons la convergence de celle-ci vers un coefficient de diffusion homogénéisé.

1. INTRODUCTION

The derivation of hydrodynamic limits for interacting particle diffusive systems in random environment has attracted a lot of interest in the last decade. One of the first paper to consider such question is probably [10] where hydrodynamic behavior of a one-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau model in the presence of random conductivities is studied. In [19], a lattice gas with random rates is considered and a complete proof of hydrodynamic limits has been given in [6], [20]. Other systems have been investigated such as exclusion processes and zero-range processes ([7, 8, 9, 12, 14, 16]). Interacting particle systems evolving in random media are in general of non-gradient. Roughly speaking the gradient condition means that the microscopic current associated to the conserved quantity is already of gradient form. Otherwise the general non-gradient techniques ([15], [22]) consists in establishing a microscopic fluctuation-dissipation equation which permits to replace the current by a gradient plus a fluctuation term. But, if the system evolves in a random medium, such a decomposition does not hold microscopically because the fluctuations induced by the random medium are too large, and it is only in a mesoscopic scale that this fluctuation-dissipation equation makes sense ([6], [20]).

In [12, 14], by extending some ideas of [16], a simpler approach is proposed. The idea is to introduce a functional transformation of the empirical measure, which turns the system into a gradient-model, in such a way that the transformed empirical measure is very close to the original empirical measure. The advantage of the method is that it avoids the heavy machinery of the non-gradient tools but is unfortunately restricted to specific models. Even if the techniques developed in [6], [20] seem to be more robust than the precedent

Date: November 26, 2013.

Key words and phrases. Hydrodynamic limits, random media, Green-Kubo formula, homogenization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank J. Fritz and S. Olla for useful discussions on [11]. This research has been partially supported by the French Ministry of Education through the ANR-10-BLAN 0108 (SHEPI) grant and the Ministère des Affaires étrangères et européennes through the PHC Balaton 19458UK grant.

approach, it is not clear that in some situations, as in the situation considered here, they can be applied without a substantial modification.

The interacting particle system we consider is the following. To a simple energy conserving linear dynamics, flips with site dependent rates are superposed. Fix a sequence $(\gamma_x)_x$ of positive numbers and denote by $(\eta(t))_{t \geq 0}$ the Markov process with state space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and generator given by

$$(1) \quad (\mathcal{L}f)(\eta) = (\mathcal{A}f)(\eta) + (\mathcal{S}f)(\eta), \quad f : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\eta_{x+1} - \eta_{x-1}) \partial_{\eta_x} f$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}f)(\eta) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_x [f(\eta^x) - f(\eta)]$$

with η^x the configuration obtained from η by flipping η_x : $(\eta^x)_z = \eta_z$ if $z \neq x$, $(\eta^x)_x = -\eta_x$. This system conserves the energy $\sum_x e_x$, $e_x = \eta_x^2/2$, and the product of centered Gaussian probability measures with variance $T > 0$ are invariant for the dynamics.

Let $(\gamma_x)_x$ be a sequence satisfying (3) and (11). For example, the sequence $(\gamma_x)_x$ is a realization of i.i.d. positive bounded below and above random variables with positive finite mean. We show (cf. Theorem 1) that, starting from a local equilibrium state with temperature profile $T_0 = 1/\beta_0$, the system evolves in a diffusive time scale following a temperature profile T , which is a solution of the heat equation

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t T = \bar{\gamma}^{-1} \Delta T \\ T(0, \cdot) = \beta_0^{-1}(\cdot) \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\gamma}$ is the average of the flip rates γ_x defined by (11).

One of the main interest of the model is its non-reversibility. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that hydrodynamic limits are established for a non-reversible interacting particle system evolving in a random medium. In fact, our first motivation was to work with a simplified version of the energy conserving model of heat conduction with random masses ([2]) and we think that some of the methods developed in this paper could be useful to study this model.

The derivation of the hydrodynamic limits presents three difficulties: the first is that the system is non-gradient. The second one is that it is non-reversible and that the symmetric part \mathcal{S} of the generator is very degenerate and gives only few pieces of information on the ergodic properties of the system. The third difficulty is more technical. The state space is non-compact and the control of high energies is non-trivial. The first problem is solved by using the "corrected empirical measure" method introduced in [12], [14] and some special features of the model. For the second one, we apply in this context some deep ideas introduced in [11] (see also [18]). The third problem is solved by observing that the set of convex combinations of Gaussian measures is preserved by the dynamics. The control of large energies is then reduced to the control of large covariances.

In the perspective to study heat conduction models with random masses our main interest lies in the properties of the diffusion coefficient (given here by $1/\bar{\gamma}$).

The diffusion coefficient is also often expressed by the Green-Kubo formula, which is nothing but the space-time variance of the current at equilibrium. The Green-Kubo expression is only formal in the sense that a double limit (in space and time) has to be taken. For reversible systems, the existence is not difficult to establish. But for non-reversible systems even the convergence of the formula is challenging ([17]). Let us remark that a priori the Green-Kubo formula depends on the particular realization of the disorder.

If we let aside the existence problem, widely accepted heuristic arguments predict the equality between the diffusion coefficient defined through hydrodynamics and the diffusion coefficient defined by the Green-Kubo formula.

The second main theorem of our paper shows that the homogenization effect also occurs for the Green-Kubo formula (see Theorem 2): for almost every realization of the disorder, the Green-Kubo formula exists and is independent of the disorder. Unfortunately we did not succeed to prove that the value of the Green-Kubo formula is $1/\bar{\gamma}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we define the system. The proof of hydrodynamic limits is given in section 3. The two main technical steps which are the derivation of a one block lemma and the control of high energies are postponed to sections 4 and 5. The study of the Green-Kubo formula is the content of the last section.

2. THE MODEL

For any $\alpha > 0$, let Ω_α be the set composed of configurations $\eta = (\eta_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\|\eta\|_\alpha < +\infty$ where

$$\|\eta\|_\alpha^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\alpha|x|} \eta_x^2$$

Let $\Omega = \bigcap_{\alpha > 0} \Omega_\alpha$ be equipped with its natural product topology and its Borel σ -field. The set of Borel probability measures on Ω will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. We also introduce the set $C_0^k(\Omega)$, $k \geq 1$, composed of bounded local functions on Ω which are differentiable up to order k with bounded partial derivatives.

The time evolution of the process $(\eta(t))_{t \geq 0}$ can be defined as follows. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_x; x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a sequence of independent Poisson processes. We shall denote by $\gamma_x > 0$ the intensity of \mathcal{N}_x . We assume there exist positive constants γ_- and γ_+ such that

$$(3) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gamma_- \leq \gamma_x \leq \gamma_+$$

For every realization of the random element $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$, consider the set of integral equations:

$$(4) \quad \eta_x(t) = (-1)^{\mathcal{N}_x(t)} \left(\eta_x(0) - \int_0^t (-1)^{\mathcal{N}_x(s)} (\eta_{x+1}(s) - \eta_{x-1}(s)) ds \right)$$

For each initial condition $\sigma \in \Omega$ the equations (4) can be solved by a classical iterative scheme. The solution $\eta(\cdot) := \eta(\cdot, \sigma)$ defines a strong Markov process with càdlàg trajectories. Moreover each path $\eta(\cdot, \sigma)$ is a continuous and differentiable function of the initial data σ ([5], [10], [11]). We define the corresponding semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ by $(P_t f)(\sigma) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(f(\eta(t, \sigma)))$ where $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the Poisson clocks and f is a bounded measurable function on Ω .

Since the state space is not compact Hille-Yosida theory can not be applied directly. Nevertheless, the differentiability with respect to initial conditions and stochastic calculus show that the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations

$$(P_t f)(\sigma) = f(\sigma) + \int_0^t (\mathcal{L} P_s f)(\sigma) ds, \quad f \in C_0^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$(P_t f)(\sigma) = f(\sigma) + \int_0^t (P_s \mathcal{L} f)(\sigma) ds, \quad f \in C_0^1(\Omega)$$

are valid with \mathcal{L} the formal generator defined by (1).

The two Chapman-Kolmogorov equations permit to deduce that the probability measures $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, which are invariant for $(\eta(t))_{t \geq 0}$, are characterized by the stationary Kolmogorov equation

$$\int (\mathcal{L}f)(\eta) d\nu(\eta) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in C_0^1(\Omega)$$

In particular, every Gibbs measure μ_β with inverse temperature $\beta > 0$ is a stationary probability measure. Observe that μ_β is nothing but the product of centered Gaussian probability measures on \mathbb{R} with variance β^{-1} . It is easy to show that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defines a strongly continuous contraction semigroup in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mu_\beta)$ whose generator is a closed extension of \mathcal{L} .

In fact, the infinite volume dynamics is well approximated by the finite dimensional dynamics $\eta^n(t) = \{\eta_x^n(t); x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $n \geq 2$. It is defined by the generator $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{A}_n + \mathcal{S}_n$ where, for any function $f \in C_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\mathcal{A}_n f = \sum_{x=-n+1}^{n-1} (\eta_{x+1} - \eta_{x-1}) \partial_{\eta_x} f - \eta_{n-1} \partial_{\eta_n} f + \eta_{-(n-1)} \partial_{\eta_{-n}} f$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_n f)(\eta) = \sum_{x=-n}^n \gamma_x [f(\eta^x) - f(\eta)]$$

Observe that $\eta_x^n(t)$, $|x| > n$, do not change in time. Moreover, the total energy $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e_x$ is conserved by the finite dimensional dynamics. We denote by $(P_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ the corresponding semigroup. Let us fix a positive time $T > 0$, a parameter $\alpha > 0$ and a function $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$. One can prove there exist constants $C_n := C(n, \alpha, T, \phi)$, $n \geq 2$, such that

$$(5) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |(P_t^n \phi)(\eta) - (P_t \phi)(\eta)| \leq C_n \|\eta\|_\alpha^2$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 0$$

This approximation is only used in the proof of Lemma 9. The proof of (5) in a similar context can be found in [3], chapter 2 (see also [11]).

3. HYDRODYNAMIC LIMITS

For any function $u : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the discrete gradient ∇u of u is the function defined on \mathbb{Z} by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\nabla u)(x) = u(x+1) - u(x)$$

The hydrodynamic limits are established in a diffusive scale. This means that we perform the time acceleration $t \rightarrow N^2 t$ and the space dilatation $x \rightarrow x/N$. In the rest of the paper, apart from section 6, the process $(\eta(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is the Markov process defined above with this time change. The corresponding generator is $N^2 \mathcal{L}$.

The local conservation of energy $e_x = \eta_x^2/2$ is expressed by the following microscopic continuity equation

$$e_x(t) - e_x(0) = -N^2 \int_0^t (\nabla j_{x-1, x})(\eta(s)) ds$$

where the current $j_{x, x+1} := j_{x, x+1}(\eta)$ is defined by

$$j_{x, x+1}(\eta) = -\eta_x \eta_{x+1}$$

We denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} with compact support and by $C_0^k(\mathbb{R})$, $k \geq 1$, the space of compactly supported functions which are differentiable up to order k . Let \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}^+) be

the space of Radon measures (resp. positive Radon measures) on \mathbb{R} endowed with the weak topology. If $G \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$ then $\langle m, G \rangle$ denotes the integral of G with respect to m .

The empirical positive Radon measure $\pi_t^N \in \mathcal{M}^+$, associated to the process $e(t) := \{e_x(t); x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, is defined by

$$\pi_t^N(du) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} e_x(t) \delta_{x/N}(du)$$

Fix a strictly positive inverse temperature profile $\beta_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ and a positive constant $\bar{\beta}$ such that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_0(x/N)} - \frac{1}{\bar{\beta}} \right]^2 = 0$$

Denote by $\mu^N = \mu_{\beta_0(\cdot)}^N \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ the product probability measure defined by

$$\mu_{\beta_0(\cdot)}^N(d\eta) = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{\beta_0(x/N)}(\eta_x) d\eta_x$$

where $g_\beta(u)du$ is the centered Gaussian probability measure on \mathbb{R} with variance β^{-1} .

We assume that the initial state satisfies

$$(7) \quad H(\mu^N | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) \leq C_0 N$$

for a positive constant C_0 independent of N . Here $H(\cdot | \cdot)$ is the relative entropy, which is defined, for two probability measures $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, by

$$(8) \quad H(P|Q) = \sup_{\phi} \left\{ \int \phi dP - \log \left(\int e^{\phi} dQ \right) \right\}$$

with the supremum carried over all bounded measurable functions ϕ on Ω . Let us recall the entropy inequality, which states that for every positive constant $a > 0$ and every bounded measurable function ϕ ,

$$(9) \quad \int \phi dP \leq a^{-1} \left\{ \log \left(\int e^{a\phi} dQ \right) + H(P|Q) \right\}$$

Fix a positive time $T > 0$. The law of the process on the path space $D([0, T], \Omega)$, induced by the Markov process $(\eta(t))_{t \geq 0}$ starting from μ^N , is denoted by \mathbb{P}_{μ^N} . For any time $s \geq 0$, the probability measure on Ω given by the law of $\eta(s)$ is denoted by μ_s^N .

Since entropy is decreasing in time, (7) implies that

$$(10) \quad \forall s \geq 0, \quad H(\mu_s^N | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) \leq C_0 N$$

The conditions (6) and (7) are introduced to get some moment bounds (see section 5). They are satisfied by any continuous function β_0^{-1} going to $\bar{\beta}^{-1}$ at infinity sufficiently fast.

Theorem 1. *Let $(\gamma_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying (3) and such that*

$$(11) \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{x=1}^K \gamma_x = \bar{\gamma}, \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{x=-K}^0 \gamma_x = \bar{\gamma}$$

for some $\bar{\gamma} \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that the initial state $\mu^N = \mu_{\beta_0(\cdot)}^N$ satisfies (7) and β_0 satisfies (6).

Then, under \mathbb{P}_{μ^N} , π_t^N converges in probability to $T_t/2$ where T_t is the unique weak solution of (2): For every $G \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, every $t > 0$, and every $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} \left[\left| \langle \pi_t^N, G \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle T_t, G \rangle \right| \geq \delta \right] = 0$$

We follow the method of the “corrected empirical measure” introduced in [12, 14]. Since the state space is not compact, technical adaptations are necessary. In particular, it is not given for free that the corrected empirical measure and the empirical measure have the same limit points for the weak convergence. It would be trivial if the state space was compact. Moreover, a replacement lemma, reduced to a one-block estimate, has to be established (see section 4).

For any $G \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, we define $T_\gamma G : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(T_\gamma G)(x) = \sum_{j < x} (\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+1}) \left\{ G\left(\frac{j+1}{N}\right) - G\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) \right\}$$

Observe that

$$N \frac{1}{\gamma_x + \gamma_{x+1}} [(T_\gamma G)(x+1) - (T_\gamma G)(x)] = (\nabla_N G)(x/N)$$

where ∇_N stands for the discrete derivative: $(\nabla_N G)(x/N) = N\{G((x+1)/N) - G(x/N)\}$.

Since $T_\gamma G$ may not belong to $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$, we modify $T_\gamma G$ in order to integrate it with respect to the empirical measure. Fix $0 < \theta < 1/2$ and consider a C^2 increasing nonnegative function \tilde{g} defined on \mathbb{R} such that $\tilde{g}(q) = 0$ for $q \leq 0$, $\tilde{g}(q) = 1$ for $q \geq 1$ and $\tilde{g}(q) = q$ for $q \in [\theta, 1 - \theta]$.

Fix an arbitrary integer $\ell > 0$ and let $g = g_{\theta, \ell} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$g(q) = \tilde{g}(q/\ell)$$

We define

$$(T_{\gamma, \ell} G)(x) = (T_\gamma G)(x) - \frac{T_{\gamma, G}}{T_{\gamma, g}}(T_\gamma g)(x)$$

where

$$T_{\gamma, h} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\gamma_x + \gamma_{x+1}) \{h((x+1)/N) - h(x/N)\}$$

In the rest of the paper we make the choice $\ell := \ell(N) = N^{1/4}$.

Lemma 1. For each function $G \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$, and each environment γ satisfying (3) and (11),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{1/4} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |T_{\gamma, \ell} G(x) - \bar{\gamma} G(x/N)| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{1/4} T_{\gamma, G} = 0$$

Proof. This is a slight modification of Lemma 4.1 in [14]. □

We shall denote by $X_t^N \in \mathcal{M}$ the corrected empirical measure defined by

$$X_t^N(G) = X_t^{N, \gamma}(G) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} T_{\gamma, \ell} G(x) e_t(x)$$

The system is non-gradient but we have

$$j_{x,x+1} = -\frac{1}{\gamma_x + \gamma_{x+1}} \nabla \left[e_x + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{x-1} \eta_{x+1} \right] + \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{1}{2(\gamma_x + \gamma_{x+1})} \eta_x \eta_{x+1} \right)$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} N^2 \mathcal{L} [X^N(G)] &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[(\Delta_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma,G}}{T_{\gamma,g}} (\Delta_N g)(x/N) \right] \left(e_x + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{x-1} \eta_{x+1} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[(\nabla_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma,G}}{T_{\gamma,g}} (\nabla_N g)(x/N) \right] \eta_x \eta_{x+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_N stands for the discrete Laplacian:

$$(\Delta_N G)(x/N) = N^2 \{G((x+1)/N) + G((x-1)/N) - 2G(x/N)\}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(12) \quad X_t^N(G) - X_0^N(G) = U_t^N(G) + V_t^N(G) + M_t^N(G)$$

with $M^N(G)$ a martingale and $U^N(G)$, $V^N(G)$, which are given by

$$U_t^N(G) = \int_0^t ds \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} B_N^G(x/N) \left(e_x(s) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{x-1}(s) \eta_{x+1}(s) \right)$$

where

$$B_N^G(x/N) = \left[(\Delta_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma,G}}{T_{\gamma,g}} (\Delta_N g)(x/N) \right]$$

and

$$V_t^N(G) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_x \left[(\nabla_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma,G}}{T_{\gamma,g}} (\nabla_N g)(x/N) \right] (\eta_x(t) \eta_{x+1}(t) - \eta_x(0) \eta_{x+1}(0))$$

Lemma 2. *The sequence $\{(X^N, \int_0^\cdot \pi_s^N ds) \in D([0, T], \mathcal{M}) \times D([0, T], \mathcal{M}^+); N \geq 1\}$ is tight.*

Proof. It is well known that the sequence

$$\left\{ \left(X^N, \int_0^\cdot \pi_s^N ds \right) \in D([0, T], \mathcal{M}) \times D([0, T], \mathcal{M}^+); N \geq 1 \right\}$$

is tight if and only if the sequence

$$\left\{ \left(X^N(G), \int_0^\cdot \pi_s^N(H) ds \right) \in D([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \times D([0, T], \mathbb{R}); N \geq 1 \right\}$$

is tight for every $G, H \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$.

By Aldous criterion for tightness in $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})^2$, it is sufficient to show that

(1) For every $t \in [0, T]$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite constant $A > 0$ such that

$$\sup_N \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} (|Y_t^N(G)| \geq A) \leq \varepsilon$$

(2) For every $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in \Theta, \theta \leq \varepsilon} \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} [|Y_{\tau+\theta}^N(G) - Y_\tau^N(G)| \geq \delta] = 0$$

where Θ is the set of all stopping times bounded by T .

for $Y^N(G) = X^N(G)$ and $Y^N(G) = \int_0^\cdot \pi_s^N(G) ds$.

Since G has compact support, there exists a constant $K > 0$ (independent of t and N) such that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} [|\bar{\gamma}\langle \pi_t^N, G \rangle - X_t^N(G)|] \\ & \leq \left(N^{1/4} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |\bar{\gamma}G(x./N) - (T_{\gamma, \ell}G)(x)| \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\frac{1}{N^{5/4}} \sum_{|x| \leq KN^{5/4}} e_x(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\int_0^T dt |\bar{\gamma}\langle \pi_t^N, G \rangle - X_t^N(G)| \right] \\ & \leq \left(N^{1/4} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |\bar{\gamma}G(x./N) - (T_{\gamma, \ell}G)(x)| \right) \int_0^T dt \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\frac{1}{N^{5/4}} \sum_{|x| \leq KN^{5/4}} e_x(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 10, the right-hand side of (13) (resp. of (14)) vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, it is sufficient to show Aldous criterion for $Y^N(G) = X^N(G)$ and for $Y^N(G) = \int_0^\cdot X_s^N(G) ds$.

From the definition of the Skorohod topology, it is easy to show that the application Φ from $D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ onto itself defined by

$$\Phi : x := \{x(t); 0 \leq t \leq T\} \rightarrow \Phi(x) := \left\{ \int_0^t x(s) ds; 0 \leq t \leq T \right\}$$

is continuous. Thus, if $(X^N(G))_N$ is tight, then $(\int_0^\cdot X_s^N(G))_N$ is tight.

Therefore it just remains to show Aldous criterion for $Y^N(G) = X^N(G)$.

Proof of (1) for $X^N(G)$:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} [|X_t^N(G)| \geq A] \leq \frac{1}{A} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |(T_{\gamma, \ell}G)(x)| e_x(t) \right)$$

We write $(T_{\gamma, \ell}G)(x) = ((T_{\gamma, \ell}G)(x) - \bar{\gamma}G(x/N)) + \bar{\gamma}G(x/N)$ and we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} [|X_t^N(G)| \geq A] & \leq \frac{1}{A} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{|x| \leq KN^{5/4}} |(T_{\gamma, \ell}G)(x) - \bar{\gamma}G(x/N)| e_x(t) \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{A} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{|x| \leq KN} |G(x/N)| e_x(t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of the previous inequality can be bounded above by the right-hand side of (13), which vanishes. By Lemma 10, the second term is bounded above by C/A with a constant C independent of N . Therefore, the first condition is satisfied.

Proof of (2) for $X^N(G)$:

Recall the decomposition (12). In order to estimate the term

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} [|U_{\tau+\varepsilon}^N(G) - U_\tau(G)|]$$

we observe that $|B_N^G(x/N)|$ is bounded above by

$$C \left[\mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq KN} + \frac{|T_{\gamma,G}|}{|T_{\gamma,g}|} (\ell^{-2} + (N\ell^{-3})) \mathbf{1}_{\{x/(N\ell) \in [1-2\theta, 1+2\theta] \cup [-2\theta, 2\theta]\}} \right]$$

where C, K are constants depending on θ and G but not on N . By Schwarz inequality, we are reduced to estimate

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\int_0^{T+\varepsilon} ds \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|x| \leq 2KN} e_x(s) \right]$$

and

$$\frac{|T_{\gamma,G}|}{|T_{\gamma,g}|} \left(\frac{1}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{N\ell^3} \right) \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\int_0^{T+\varepsilon} ds \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|x| \leq (1+3\theta)N\ell} e_x(s) \right]$$

By Lemma 10, the first term is of order one. It is not difficult to show that $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} T_{\gamma,g} > 0$, and Lemma 1 gives $\ell T_{\gamma,G} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, by Lemma 10, the second one vanishes as N goes to infinity.

The two last terms of (12) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t ds \mathcal{L} \left(\sum_x \left[(\nabla_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma,G}}{T_{\gamma,g}} (\nabla_N g)(x/N) \right] \eta_x \eta_{x+1} \right) (s) \\ = V_t^N(G) + M_t^N(G) \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 1 and Lemma 10, similar estimates as before show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T+\varepsilon]} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} [|V_t^N(G)|] = 0$$

By computing the quadratic variation of the martingale $M^N(G)$, one obtains that (we recall that $\sup_x \gamma_x \leq \gamma_+$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[(M_{\tau+\varepsilon}^N(G) - M_{\tau}^N(G))^2 \right] \\ & \leq \frac{2\gamma_+}{N^2} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\int_{\tau}^{\tau+\varepsilon} ds \sum_x \left\{ (\nabla_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma,G}}{T_{\gamma,g}} (\nabla_N g)(x/N) \right\}^2 e_s(x) e_s(x+1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\left\{ (\nabla_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma,G}}{T_{\gamma,g}} (\nabla_N g)(x/N) \right\}^2 \leq C \mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq KN} + \ell^{-2} (T_{\gamma,G}/T_{\gamma,g})^2 \mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq KN^{5/4}}$$

Since $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} T_{\gamma,g} > 0$ and $\ell T_{\gamma,G} \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 10, we get

$$\sup_{s \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left\{ \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq KN} e_x^2(s) \right\}$$

and

$$\sup_{s \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left\{ \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{|x| \leq KN^{5/4}} e_x^2(s) \right\}$$

go to 0 with N (and are in particular bounded above by a constant independent of N). \square

Lemma 3. *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}^+$ be a limit point of the sequence*

$$\left\{ \left(X^N, \int_0^{\cdot} \pi_s^N ds \right) \in D([0, T], \mathcal{M}) \times D([0, T], \mathcal{M}^+); N \geq 1 \right\}.$$

For every $G \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$ and every $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\alpha_t(G) - \alpha_0(G) = \bar{\gamma}^{-1} \int_0^t \alpha_s(\Delta G) ds, \quad \beta_t = \bar{\gamma}^{-1} \int_0^t \alpha_s ds$$

Proof. In the proof of the tightness of X^N we have seen that the term

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\int_0^t ds \mathcal{L} \left(\sum_x \left[(\nabla_N G)(x/N) - \frac{T_{\gamma, G}}{T_{\gamma, g}} (\nabla_N g)(x/N) \right] \eta_x \eta_{x+1} \right) (s) \right]$$

and the term

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\int_0^t ds \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{T_{\gamma, G}}{T_{\gamma, g}} (\Delta_N g)(x/N) \left(e_x(s) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{x-1}(s) \eta_{x+1}(s) \right) \right]$$

vanish as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By using Lemma 5, it implies that

$$\alpha_t(G) - \alpha_0(G) = \beta_t(\Delta G)$$

Moreover, by (14), we have

$$\beta_t = \bar{\gamma}^{-1} \int_0^t \alpha_s ds$$

□

Lemma 4. *Any limit point β of the sequence $\left\{ \int_0^{\cdot} \pi_s^N ds \in D([0, T], \mathcal{M}^+); N \geq 1 \right\}$ is such that, for any $t \in [0, T]$, β_t is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. Fix a positive time t and let R_{μ^N} be the probability measure on \mathcal{M}^+ given by

$$R_{\mu^N}(A) = \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \pi_s^N ds \in A \right\}$$

for every Borel subset A of \mathcal{M}^+ . Let $J : \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a continuous and bounded function. By the entropy inequality (9) and by using (10) we have

$$(15) \quad \int J(\pi) dR_{\mu^N}(\pi) \leq C_0 + \frac{1}{N} \log \left(\int e^{NJ(\pi)} dR_{\mu_{\bar{\beta}}}(\pi) \right)$$

By the Laplace-Varadhan theorem, the second term on the right hand side converges as N goes to infinity to

$$\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}^+} [J(\pi) - I_0(\pi)]$$

where I_0 is the large deviations rate function for the random measure π under $R_{\mu_{\bar{\beta}}}$. It is a simple exercise to compute the rate function I_0 . We have

$$I_0(\pi) = \sup_{f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})} \left\{ \int f(u) \pi(du) - \int \log M_{\bar{\beta}}(f(u)) du \right\}$$

where $M_{\bar{\beta}}(\alpha)$ is the Laplace transform of $\eta_0^2/2$ under $\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$:

$$M_{\bar{\beta}}(\alpha) = \mu_{\bar{\beta}}(e^{\alpha \eta_0^2/2}) = \sqrt{\bar{\beta}/(\bar{\beta} - \alpha)}$$

if $\alpha < \bar{\beta}$, and $+\infty$ otherwise.

The function I_0 also takes the simple form

$$I_0(\pi) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(\pi(u))du & \text{if } \pi(du) = \pi(u)du, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where the Legendre transform h of $M_{\bar{\beta}}$ is given by $h(\alpha) = \bar{\beta}\alpha - 1/2 - 1/2 \log(2\alpha\bar{\beta}) \geq 0$ if $\alpha > 0$, and $+\infty$ otherwise.

Let $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a dense sequence in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ with f_1 being the function identically equal to 0. Then I_0 is the increasing limit of $J_k \geq 0$ defined by

$$J_k(\pi) = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left\{ \int f_j(u)\pi(du) - \int \log M_{\bar{\beta}}(f_j(u))du \right\} \wedge k$$

By using (15) we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int J_k(\pi) dR_{\mu^N}(\pi) \leq C_0$$

for each k . Since J_k is a lower semi-continuous function, any limit point R^* of R_{μ^N} is such that

$$\int J_k(\pi) dR^*(\pi) \leq C_0$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, we have $\int I_0(\pi) dR^*(\pi) \leq C_0 < +\infty$. Since $I_0(\pi)$ is equal to $+\infty$ if π is not absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, it implies that

$$R^* \{ \pi; \pi(du) = \pi(u)du \} = 1$$

and the lemma is proved. \square

We conclude as follows. Let (α, β) be a limit point of $(X^N(G), \int_0^\cdot \pi_s^N(G))_{N \geq 1}$. From the equation

$$\alpha_t(G) - \alpha_0(G) = \bar{\gamma}^{-1} \int_0^t \alpha_s(\Delta G) ds$$

we see that α is time continuous. Moreover, if A is a subset of \mathbb{R} with zero Lebesgue measure, then $\beta_t(A) = 0$ for any $t \in [0, T]$. This implies that $\alpha_t(A) = 0$ for any $t \in [0, T]$, i.e. that α_t is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .

By uniqueness of weak solution to the heat equation, we have that 2α is the Dirac mass concentrated on the (smooth) solution of the heat equation $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{T}_t(u)$ starting from $\bar{\gamma}\beta_0^{-1}$: $\partial_t \tilde{T}_t = \bar{\gamma}^{-1} \Delta \tilde{T}_t$, $\tilde{T}_0 = \bar{\gamma}\beta_0^{-1}$.

Hence we conclude that $\{X^N \in D([0, T], \mathcal{M}); N \geq 1\}$ converges in distribution to $(\tilde{T}_t(u)/2) du$. Since the limit is continuous in time we have that $\{X_t^N; N \geq 1\}$ converges in distribution to the deterministic limit $(\tilde{T}_t(u)/2) du$. Since convergence in distribution to a deterministic variable implies convergence in probability, this implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} \left[\left| X_t^N(G) - \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{T}_t(u) G(u) du \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] = 0$$

We use again (13) and the fact that $\bar{\gamma}^{-1} \tilde{T}_t = T_t$ to get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} \left[\left| \pi_t^N(G) - \frac{1}{2} \int T_t(u) G(u) du \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] = 0$$

and the theorem is proved.

4. ONE-BLOCK ESTIMATE

The aim of this section is to prove the following so-called one block estimate ([15]).

Lemma 5 (One block estimate). *For any $G \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$, any $t \geq 0$, and any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu^N} \left[\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\Delta_N G)(x/N) \int_0^t ds \eta_{x-1}(s) \eta_{x+1}(s) \right| \geq \delta \right] = 0$$

Since $G \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R})$, we can replace $(\Delta_N G)(x/N)$ by

$$\frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{|y-x| \leq k} (\Delta_N G)(y/N)$$

as soon as $k \ll N$ and we are left to prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\left| \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{|x| \leq N} \int_0^t ds \left[\frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{|x-y| \leq k} \eta_y(s) \eta_{y+1}(s) \right] \right| \right] = 0$$

Given two probability measures P, Q on Ω and Λ a finite subset of \mathbb{Z} , $H_\Lambda(P|Q)$ denotes the relative entropy of the projection of P on \mathbb{R}^Λ with respect to the projection of Q on \mathbb{R}^Λ . We shall denote the projection of P on \mathbb{R}^Λ by $P|_\Lambda$. If $\Lambda = \Lambda_k = \{-k, \dots, k\}$, we use the short notation P_k .

We define the space-time average of $(\mu_s^N)_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ by

$$\nu^N = \frac{1}{(2N+1)t} \sum_{|x| \leq N} \int_0^t \tau_x \mu_s^N ds$$

Here τ_x denotes the shift by x : for any $\eta \in \Omega$, the configuration $\tau_x \eta$ is defined by $(\tau_x \eta)_z = \eta_{x+z}$; for any function g on Ω , $\tau_x g$ is the function on Ω given by $(\tau_x g)(\eta) = g(\tau_x \eta)$; for any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $\tau_x p$ is the push-forward of p by τ_x . The probability measure p is said to be translation invariant if $\tau_x p = p$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We have to show

$$(16) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_k}} d\nu_k^N \left[\left| \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{|y| \leq k} \eta_y \eta_{y+1} \right| \right] = 0$$

Lemma 6. *For each fixed k , the sequence of probability measure $(\nu_k^N)_{N \geq k}$ on \mathbb{R}^{Λ_k} is tight.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that there exists a constant $C_k < \infty$ independent of N such that

$$(17) \quad \int \sum_{i \in \Lambda_k} e_i d\nu_k^N \leq C_k$$

We begin to prove that

$$(18) \quad H_{\Lambda_k}(\nu^N | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) = H\left(\nu_k^N \Big| \mu_{\bar{\beta}}|_{\Lambda_k}\right) \leq C_0 |\Lambda_k|$$

Fix a bounded measurable function ϕ depending only on the sites in $\Lambda := \Lambda_k = \{-k, \dots, k\}$. Assume for simplicity that $2N+1 = (2k+1)(2p+1)$ for some $p \geq 1$. Then we can index the elements of the set $\{-N, \dots, N\}$ in the following way

$$\{-N, \dots, N\} = \{x_j + y; j = -p, \dots, p; y \in \Lambda_k\}$$

where $x_j = 2kj + 1$. Since ϕ depends only on the sites in Λ , it is clear that under $\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$, for each $y \in \Lambda$, the random variables $(\tau_{x_j+y}\phi)_{j=-p,\dots,p}$ are i.i.d..

Let $\bar{\mu}_t^N = t^{-1} \int_0^t \mu_s^N ds$. By convexity of the entropy and (10), we have $H(\bar{\mu}_t^N | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) \leq C_0 N$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \int \phi d\nu_k^N &= \int \left(\frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{|x| \leq N} \tau_x \phi \right) d\bar{\mu}_t^N \\ &\leq \frac{|\Lambda|}{2N+1} H(\bar{\mu}_t^N | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) + \frac{|\Lambda|}{2N+1} \log \left(\int d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} e^{|\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{|x| \leq N} \tau_x \phi} \right) \\ &\leq C_0 |\Lambda| + \frac{|\Lambda|}{2N+1} \log \left(\int d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} e^{|\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{y \in \Lambda} (\sum_{|j| \leq p} \tau_{y+x_j} \phi)} \right) \\ &\leq C_0 |\Lambda| + \frac{|\Lambda|}{2N+1} |\Lambda|^{-1} \sum_{y \in \Lambda} \log \left(\int d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} e^{\sum_{|j| \leq p} \tau_{y+x_j} \phi} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the entropy inequality (9) and the convexity of the application $f \rightarrow \log(\int d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} e^f)$. By independence, for each y , of $(\tau_{x_j+y}\phi)_{j=-p,\dots,p}$ and the translation invariance of $\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$, we get

$$(19) \quad \int \phi d\nu_k^N \leq C_0 |\Lambda| + \log \left(\int e^\phi d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} \right)$$

This implies (18) and, by the entropy inequality (9), the inequality (17). \square

For any k , let ν_k^* be a limit point of the sequence $(\nu_k^N)_{N \geq k}$. The sequence of probability measures $(\nu_k^*)_{k \geq 0}$ forms a consistent family and, by Kolmogorov theorem, there exists a unique probability measure ν on Ω such that $\nu_k = \nu_k^*$. By construction, the probability measure ν is invariant by translations.

Lemma 7. *There exists C_0 such that for any box $\Lambda_k = \{-k, \dots, k\}$, $k \geq 0$,*

$$(20) \quad H_{\Lambda_k}(\nu | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) \leq C_0 |\Lambda_k|$$

Proof. We have seen in the proof of the previous lemma that

$$(21) \quad H_{\Lambda_k}(\nu^N | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) = H \left(\nu_k^N \mid \mu_{\bar{\beta}} |_{\Lambda_k} \right) \leq C_0 |\Lambda_k|$$

Since the entropy is lower semicontinuous, it follows that

$$H_{\Lambda_k}(\nu | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) \leq C_0 |\Lambda_k|$$

\square

A translation invariant probability measure ν on Ω such that (20) is satisfied is said to have a finite entropy density. By a super-additivity argument (see [3], [11]), the following limit

$$(22) \quad \bar{H}(\nu | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_{\Lambda_k}(\nu | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})}{|\Lambda_k|}$$

exists and is finite. For any bounded local measurable function ϕ on Ω , we define the limit

$$\bar{F}(\phi) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2k+1} \bar{F}_k(\phi), \quad \bar{F}_k(\phi) = \log \int e^{\sum_{i=-k}^k \tau_i \phi} d\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$$

The entropy density $\bar{H}(\nu|\mu_{\bar{\beta}})$ can be expressed by the variational formula

$$(23) \quad \bar{H}(\nu|\mu_{\bar{\beta}}) = \sup_{\phi} \left\{ \int \phi d\nu - \bar{F}(\phi) \right\}$$

where the supremum is taken over all bounded local measurable functions ϕ on Ω .

We now show the following lemma

Lemma 8. *For any function $F \in C_0^1(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\int \mathcal{L}F d\nu = 0$$

Proof. Assume that $F \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ has a support included in $\mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_{k-1}}$. We have

$$\int \mathcal{L}F d\nu = \int \mathcal{L}F d\nu_k = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \mathcal{L}F d\nu_k^N$$

Define $G = (2N + 1)^{-1} \sum_{|x| \leq N} \tau_x F$. By Itô formula

$$\begin{aligned} & N^{-2} \left\{ \int d\mu_t^N(\eta) G(\eta) - \int d\mu^N(\eta) G(\eta) \right\} \\ &= \int_0^t ds \int d\mu_s^N(\eta) (\mathcal{L}G)(\eta) \\ &= t \int d\bar{\mu}_t^N(\eta) (\mathcal{L}G)(\eta) \\ &= t \int d\nu_k^N(\eta) (\mathcal{L}F)(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

Since F (and hence G) is bounded, the left-hand side goes to 0 as N goes to infinity and it follows that

$$\int \mathcal{L}F d\nu = 0$$

□

Recall that we want to show (16). From the previous lemmas, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int dp(\eta) \left[\left| \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{|y| \leq k} \eta_y \eta_{y+1} \right| \right] = 0$$

for any $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that p has finite entropy density, is stationary for \mathcal{L} and translation invariant.

Proposition 1 gives the characterization of stationary probability measures, translation invariant, and with finite entropy density. By using the notations of this proposition, to complete the proof of Lemma 5, we have to show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, +\infty)} d\lambda(\beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}} d\mu_{\beta} \left[\left| \frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{|y| \leq k} \eta_y \eta_{y+1} \right| \right] = 0$$

Since, under μ_β , the random variables $(\sqrt{\beta}\eta_y)_y$ are distributed according to standard independent Gaussian variables, and $\int \beta^{-1}d\lambda(\beta) < +\infty$, it remains to prove

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}} d\mu_1 \left[\frac{1}{2k+1} \sum_{|y| \leq k} \eta(y)\eta(y+1) \right] = 0$$

By using Schwarz inequality, a simple computation gives the result.

Proposition 1. *Let ν be an invariant measure for \mathcal{L} which is translation invariant with finite entropy density. Then, ν is a mixture of the Gaussian product measures μ_β , $\beta > 0$,*

$$\nu = \int_{(0,+\infty)} d\lambda(\beta) \mu_\beta$$

and the probability measure λ on $(0, +\infty)$ is such that

$$\int_{(0,+\infty)} \beta^{-1}d\lambda(\beta) < +\infty$$

In order to give the proof of this proposition, we need the following lemma

Lemma 9. *Let ν be an invariant measure for \mathcal{L} , translation invariant with finite entropy density. Then, for any local measurable bounded function ϕ on Ω , we have*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \int [\phi(\eta^x) - \phi(\eta)] d\nu = 0$$

Proof. We only give a sketch of the proof since the arguments are almost the same as in [11], Proposition 6.1 (see also chapter 2 of [3]).

The proof is divided in two steps. Let us first consider a generic probability measure ν_* , not necessarily translation invariant, such that $H(\nu_* | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) < +\infty$ and let us denote by g the density of ν_* with respect to $\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$. We introduce, for any n , the Dirichlet forms

$$(24) \quad D_n(\nu_*) = \sup_{\psi} \left\{ - \int \frac{\mathcal{S}_n \psi}{\psi} d\nu_* \right\}$$

where the supremum is carried over the set \mathcal{F} composed of the positive functions $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that $0 < M^{-1} \leq \psi \leq M$ for some positive constant M .

It is easy to check that if $D_n(\nu_*) < +\infty$ then

$$(25) \quad D_n(\nu_*) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=-n}^n \gamma_x \int (Y_x \sqrt{g})^2 d\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$$

where for any function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $Y_x u$ is the function defined by $(Y_x u)(\eta) = u(\eta^x) - u(\eta)$. Observe that $Y_x^2 = -2Y_x$ so that $-\mathcal{S}_n = (1/2) \sum_{x=-n}^n \gamma_x Y_x^2$.

In fact, even if ν_* is not absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$, the Dirichlet form $D_n(\nu_*)$ defined by (24) makes sense in $[0, +\infty]$.

Recall that $(P_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ is the semigroup generated by the finite dimensional dynamics introduced in section 2. We have the following well known entropy production bound (see [3] or [15], Theorem 9.2)

$$H(\nu_* P_t^n | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) + t D_n(\bar{\nu}_{*,t}^n) \leq H(\nu_* | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})$$

where $\bar{\nu}_{*,t}^n = t^{-1} \int_0^t \nu_* P_s^n ds$.

Let us denote the density of $\bar{\nu}_{*,t}^n$ with respect to $\mu_{\bar{\beta}}$ by \bar{g}_t^n . Since $H(\nu_*|\mu_{\bar{\beta}}) < +\infty$, we have $D_n(\bar{\nu}_{*,t}^n) < +\infty$ and, by the explicit formula (25) of the Dirichlet form,

$$(26) \quad H(\nu_* P_t^n | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) + \frac{\gamma_- t}{2} \sum_{j=-n}^n \int (Y_j \sqrt{\bar{g}_t^n})^2 d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} \leq H(\nu_* | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})$$

The second term on the left-hand side of the previous inequality is composed by a sum of positive parts. We can restrict this for any $m \leq n$. By using (8) and the variational formula (24) for the Dirichlet form, we get that, for any function $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ and any functions $\psi_j \in \mathcal{F}$, $j \in \{-m, \dots, m\}$,

$$\int P_t^n \phi d\nu_* - \log \int e^\phi d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{\gamma_- t}{2} \sum_{j=-m}^m \frac{Y_j^2 \psi_j}{\psi_j} d\bar{\nu}_{*,t}^n \leq H(\nu_* | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})$$

We let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and, by (5), we have

$$(27) \quad \int P_t \phi d\nu_* - \log \int e^\phi d\mu_{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{\gamma_- t}{2} \sum_{j=-m}^m \frac{Y_j^2 \psi_j}{\psi_j} d\bar{\nu}_{*,t} \leq H(\nu_* | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})$$

where $\bar{\nu}_{*,t} = t^{-1} \int_0^t \nu_* P_s ds$.

In the second step of the proof we apply (27) to $\nu_* = \nu_*^{(m)} = \nu \Big|_{\Lambda_m} \otimes \mu_{\bar{\beta}} \Big|_{\Lambda_m^c}$. We recall that Λ_m denotes the box $\{-m, \dots, m\}$ and Λ_m^c stands for $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \Lambda_m$. Observe that $H(\nu_*^{(m)} | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) = H_{\Lambda_m}(\nu | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})$ so that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (2m+1)^{-1} H(\nu_*^{(m)} | \mu_{\bar{\beta}}) = \bar{H}(\nu | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})$$

By choosing $\phi = \sum_{i=-m}^m \tau_i \phi_0$, $\psi_i = \tau_i \psi_0$, with $\phi_0 \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ and $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, we get

$$\sum_{i=-m}^m \int P_t(\tau_i \phi_0) d\nu_*^{(m)} - \bar{F}_m(\phi_0) + \frac{\gamma_- t}{2} \sum_{i=-m}^m \int \tau_i \frac{Y_0^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0} d\bar{\nu}_{*,t}^{(m)} \leq H(\nu_*^{(m)} | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})$$

We claim that

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{i=-m}^m \int P_t(\tau_i \phi_0) d\nu_*^{(m)} &= \int P_t \phi_0 d\nu = \int \phi_0 d\nu, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{i=-m}^m \int \tau_i \frac{Y_0^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0} d\bar{\nu}_{*,t}^{(m)} &= \int \frac{Y_0^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0} d\nu \end{aligned}$$

Then, by using (23) and optimizing over ϕ_0 and ψ_0 , we get

$$\sup_{\psi_0} \int \frac{Y_0^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0} d\nu = 0$$

It is clear that we can repeat the argument substituting Y_j to Y_0 , and we obtain

$$\sup_{\psi_0} \int \frac{Y_j^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0} d\nu = 0$$

so that, by summing over j , we have $D_n(\nu) = 0$ which implies that ν is invariant by any flip.

It remains to show (28). The difficulty comes from the fact that even if the function u is local $P_t u$ is not. But it is easy to see, by using (5), that we can replace the semigroup of the infinite dynamics P_t by the semigroup of the finite dimensional dynamics P_t^n , if n is sufficiently large. The function $P_t^n u$ is then local and the ergodic theorem permits to conclude.

We refer the interested reader to [3] for the details of the arguments. □

Proof of Proposition 1. By Lemma 9, we have $\int \mathcal{S}g \, d\nu = 0$ for any bounded measurable function g on Ω . It follows that for any $g \in C_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$(29) \quad \int \mathcal{A}g \, d\nu = 0$$

Since ν has finite entropy density, we have $\int e_0 \, d\nu < +\infty$. By translation invariance, the ergodic theorem gives the existence ν a.s., and in $\mathbb{L}^1(\nu)$, of

$$u(\eta) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{|x| \leq \ell} \eta_x, \quad \mathcal{E}(\eta) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{|x| \leq \ell} \eta_x^2$$

Since ν is invariant with respect to any flip, we have ν almost surely that $u(\eta) = 0$.

Assume first that ν is exchangeable.

For any $\mathbf{z} \in [0, \infty)$ let $\nu_{\mathbf{z}}$ be the probability measure

$$\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = \nu(\cdot | \mathcal{E} = \mathbf{z})$$

If $\mathbf{z} = 0$ then $\nu_{\mathbf{z}}$ is the Dirac mass concentrated on the configuration δ_0 with each coordinate equal to 0.

Let us now assume that $\mathbf{z} \neq 0$.

Consider a test function g in (29) of the form

$$g(\eta) = f(\eta) \chi \left(\frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{|x| \leq \ell} \eta_x^2 \right)$$

with f, χ compactly supported and smooth. It is easy to show, by taking the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ in (29) with g as above, that

$$\int \mathcal{A}f \, d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = 0$$

and this can be extended to any $f \in C_0^1(\Omega)$. We apply the previous equality with a function f of the form

$$f(\eta) = \eta_x \phi(\eta)$$

with $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ independent of η_x . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int (\eta_{x+1} - \eta_{x-1}) \phi \, d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\eta) + \sum_{y \neq x} \int (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1}) \eta_x \partial_{\eta_y} \phi \, d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} \\ &= \int (\eta_{x+1} - \eta_{x-1}) \phi \, d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\eta) \\ &+ \int (\eta_x - \eta_{x-2}) \eta_x \partial_{\eta_{x-1}} \phi \, d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} + \int (\eta_{x+2} - \eta_x) \eta_x \partial_{\eta_{x+1}} \phi \, d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} \\ &+ \sum_{y \neq x-1, x, x+1} \int (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1}) \eta_x \partial_{\eta_y} \phi \, d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the last term is equal to zero. This is a consequence of the exchangeability of $\nu_{\mathbf{z}}$. Let Λ be the support of ϕ (which does not contain x by assumption). Observe that, for any $y \neq x-1, x, x+1$, the site x

does not belong to the support of $(\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1})\partial_{\eta_y}\phi$. Let t be sufficiently large (e.g. $t > |x| + \max_{s \in \Lambda} |s| + 10$). By exchangeability we have, for any $k \geq 0$, that

$$\int (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1})\eta_x \partial_{\eta_y} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = \int (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1})\eta_{t+k} \partial_{\eta_y} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}$$

Hence, we get

$$\int (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1})\eta_x \partial_{\eta_y} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \int (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1})\eta_{t+k} \partial_{\eta_y} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}$$

Let ℓ go to infinity and use the convergence of $\ell^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \eta_{t+k}$ to $u(\eta) = 0$ to conclude.

The same argument shows that

$$\int \eta_{x-2}\eta_x \partial_{\eta_{x-1}} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = 0, \quad \int \eta_{x+2}\eta_x \partial_{\eta_{x+1}} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = 0$$

and, similarly, we have

$$\int \eta_x^2 \partial_{\eta_{x-1}} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{z} \int \partial_{\eta_{x-1}} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}, \quad \int \eta_x^2 \partial_{\eta_{x+1}} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{z} \int \partial_{\eta_{x+1}} \phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}},$$

Hence, we proved that, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any function $\phi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ such that x does not belong to the support of ϕ ,

$$\int (\eta_{x+1} - \eta_{x-1})\phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\eta) + \mathbf{z} \int (\partial_{\eta_{x-1}} - \partial_{\eta_{x+1}})\phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = 0$$

We apply this for a function ϕ depending only on $(\eta_{2k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, so that, for any k , ϕ is independent of η_{2k+1} . We have

$$\int (\eta_{2k+2} - \eta_{2k})\phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\eta) + \mathbf{z} \int (\partial_{\eta_{2k}} - \partial_{\eta_{2k+2}})\phi d\nu_{\mathbf{z}} = 0$$

This implies that the law of $(\eta_{2k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ under $\nu_{\mathbf{z}}$ is a product of centered Gaussian probability measures on \mathbb{R} with variance \mathbf{z} (see e.g. [13]).

The same result occurs for the law of $(\eta_{2k+1})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Let now $\Phi_A(\eta) = \prod_{s \in A} \phi_s(\eta_s)$ be a test function with A a finite arbitrary set of \mathbb{Z} and ϕ_s real valued bounded functions. We write the set A in the form $A_0 \cup A_1$ where A_0 is the set composed of elements of A which are even and A_1 the set composed of elements of A which are odd. Let B_0 be a set composed of even sites such that $|B_0| = |A_1|$ and $A \cap B_0 = \emptyset$. Let σ be a permutation on \mathbb{Z} such that $\sigma(A_1) = B_0$ and A_0 is fixed under the action of σ . We denote by $\sigma \cdot \eta$ the configuration defined by $(\sigma \cdot \eta)_x = \eta_{\sigma(x)}$. By exchangeability of $\nu_{\mathbf{z}}$ we have

$$\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\Phi_A(\sigma \cdot \eta)) = \nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\Phi_A(\eta))$$

and

$$\Phi_A(\sigma \cdot \eta) = \prod_{s \in A_0} \phi_s(\eta_s) \prod_{s \in B_0} \phi_{\sigma^{-1}(s)}(\eta_s)$$

Since the function $\Phi_A(\sigma \cdot \eta)$ is a function depending only on $(\eta_{2k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $A_0 \cap B_0 = \emptyset$ we know that

$$\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\Phi_A(\sigma \cdot \eta)) = \prod_{s \in A_0} \left(\int \phi_s(x) g_{1/\mathbf{z}}(x) dx \right) \prod_{s \in B_0} \left(\int \phi_{\sigma^{-1}(s)}(x) g_{1/\mathbf{z}}(x) dx \right)$$

We recall that $g_{1/\mathbf{z}}$ is the density of the centered Gaussian probability measure on \mathbb{R} with variance \mathbf{z} . Hence, we proved

$$\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\Phi_A(\eta)) = \prod_{s \in A} \left(\int \phi_s(x) g_{1/\mathbf{z}}(x) dx \right)$$

which shows that $d\nu_{\mathbf{z}}(\eta)$ is equal to $\prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{1/\mathbf{z}}(\eta_x) d\eta_x$.

We now show that ν is exchangeable. Let us consider the test function $\chi(\eta) = \phi(\eta_x, \eta_{x+1})\psi(e_y; y \neq x, x+1)$ with ϕ, ψ smooth and compactly supported functions. By (29), we have

$$\int d\nu \mathcal{A}\chi = 0 = \int \mathcal{A}\phi \psi d\nu + \int \phi \mathcal{A}\psi d\nu$$

Observe that the second term is given by

$$\sum_{y \neq x, x+1} \int d\nu(\eta) \eta_y (\partial_{e_y} \psi)(\eta) (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1}) \phi(\eta_x, \eta_{x+1})$$

This is equal to zero because ν is invariant by the flips and the function $\eta \rightarrow \eta_y (\partial_{e_y} \psi)(\eta) (\eta_{y+1} - \eta_{y-1}) \phi(\eta_x, \eta_{x+1})$ is an odd function of η_y for $y \neq x, x+1$.

Moreover we have that

$$(\mathcal{A}\phi)(\eta) = (\eta_{x+2} - \eta_x) \partial_{\eta_{x+1}} \phi + (\eta_{x+1} - \eta_{x-1}) \partial_{\eta_x} \phi$$

Remark that $\eta_{x+2} \psi \partial_{\eta_{x+1}} \phi$ is odd with respect to η_{x+2} so that its integral with respect to ν is equal to 0, and similarly for $\eta_{x-1} \psi \partial_{\eta_x} \phi$. Hence, we get

$$\int d\nu(\eta) (\eta_{x+1} \partial_{\eta_x} \phi - \eta_x \partial_{\eta_{x+1}} \phi) \psi = 0$$

This equation implies that $\nu(\eta_x, \eta_{x+1} | (e_y; y \neq x, x+1))$ is exchangeable.

Let now Φ be a local test function of the form

$$\Phi(\eta) = \prod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_s(\eta_s)$$

where $(\phi_s)_s$ is a sequence of bounded smooth functions equal to 1 for $|s| \geq A$ for a positive constant A . Our aim is to prove that for any x we have

$$(30) \quad \nu(\Phi(\eta^{x, x+1})) = \nu(\Phi(\eta))$$

which implies the exchangeability of ν . We can assume that each ϕ_s is even or odd since every function can be decomposed as the sum of an even and an odd function. Moreover each even function $\phi_s(\eta_s)$ takes the form $\tilde{\phi}_s(e_s)$ for a suitable function $\tilde{\phi}_s$.

If one of the ϕ_s is odd, since ν is invariant by all flip operators, (30) is trivial because the two terms are equal to zero. We assume that all the ϕ_s are even so that Φ is in fact a function depending only of the energies e_s and we write $\Phi(\eta) = \tilde{\Phi}(e) = \prod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\phi}_s(e_s)$. We shall denote by $\tilde{\nu}$ the law of $e := \{e_y; y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Phi(\eta) d\nu(\eta) &= \int d\tilde{\nu}(e) \tilde{\Phi}(e) \\ &= \int d\tilde{\nu}(e_y; y \neq x, x+1) \left(\int \tilde{\Phi}(e) d\tilde{\nu}(e_x, e_{x+1} | e_y, y \neq x, x+1) \right) \\ &= \int d\tilde{\nu}(e_y; y \neq x, x+1) \left(\int \tilde{\Phi}(e^{x, x+1}) d\tilde{\nu}(e_x, e_{x+1} | e_y, y \neq x, x+1) \right) \\ &= \int \Phi(\eta^{x, x+1}) d\nu(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the exchangeability of $\nu(\eta_x, \eta_{x+1} | (e_y; y \neq x, x+1))$ in the third equality. It concludes the proof that ν is exchangeable.

Hence, we can express ν as a mixture of μ_β , $\beta \in (0, +\infty]$, with the convention that μ_∞ is the Dirac mass concentrated on the configuration δ_0 :

$$\nu = \int_{(0, +\infty]} d\lambda(\beta) \mu_\beta$$

In fact, λ is the law under ν of the random variable $1/\mathcal{E}(\eta)$. It remains to prove that $\nu(\mathcal{E}(\eta) = 0) = \lambda(\{+\infty\}) = 0$. It is a simple consequence of the fact that $H_{\Lambda_k}(\nu|\mu_{\bar{\beta}}) \leq C_0|\Lambda_k|$ for any k and in particular for $k = 0$. By (8), we have that for any positive real M

$$C_0 \geq M \int \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(x) d\nu \Big|_{\{0\}}(x) - \log \left(\int e^{M\mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(x)} g_{\bar{\beta}}(x) dx \right) = M\lambda(\{+\infty\})$$

Since M is arbitrary large, it follows that $\lambda(\{+\infty\}) = 0$. \square

5. MOMENTS BOUNDS

The aim of this section is to give the proof of the following lemma:

Lemma 10. *Let μ^N be the probability measure $\mu_{\beta_0(\cdot)}^N$ associated to a temperature profile bounded below by a strictly positive constant such that (6) and (7) are valid. Let $(M_N)_{N \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} M_N/N > 0$. Then, there exists a positive constant C , which is independent of N , such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\frac{1}{M_N} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} e_x(t) \right] \leq C$$

and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} e_x^2(t) \right] = 0$$

Let us first explain why the second equality of this lemma is nontrivial. The standard arguments to get moment upper bounds are based on the entropy inequality (9) and the existence of exponential moments. In our case it would be necessary to have $\mu_\beta(e^{\alpha\eta_0^4}) < +\infty$ for α sufficiently small. This is false since μ_β is a Gaussian measure. In [1], following an idea of Varadhan, and despite the absence of exponential moments, the use of the entropy inequality for the *microcanonical* measure was sufficient to get a weak form of the lemma we want to prove. This approach cannot be carried here because we are in infinite volume and because the Dirichlet form is too degenerate to reproduce the argument.

Proof. The first statement is a simple consequence of the entropy inequality (9). Indeed, for any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\frac{1}{M_N} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} e_t(x) \right] \leq \frac{H(\mu_t^N | \mu_{\bar{\beta}})}{\delta M_N} + \frac{1}{\delta M_N} \log \left(\int e^{\delta \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} \eta_x^2/2} d\mu_{\bar{\beta}}(\eta) \right)$$

The first term on the right-hand side is of order one by (10) and the second term is also of order one if δ is sufficiently small. Hence the left-hand side is of order one in N uniformly in time.

The bound on the second moment of the energy is more difficult to obtain and the entropy inequality is not sufficient. We exploit here the Gaussian structure of the initial state.

Recall the integral equations (4) defining the dynamics. Each Poisson process \mathcal{N}_x is interpreted as a clock and a jump of \mathcal{N}_x as a ring of the clock. Conditionally to the realization of $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_x)_x$, the dynamics is linear, thus the law remains Gaussian in the time interval between two successive rings. When a clock

rings the flip operation conserves the Gaussian property of the state. Hence, conditionally to \mathcal{N} , the state remains Gaussian for any time. It follows that the law μ_t^N of the process at time t is a convex combination of Gaussian measures $G_{m,C}$ with mean $m \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and correlation matrix $C \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$, the space of symmetric matrices indexed by \mathbb{Z} :

$$\mu_t^N = \int d\rho_t(m, C) G_{m,C}$$

Moreover, the convex combination $\rho_t(m, C)$ is the law at time t of the Markov process $(m(t), C(t))$ with formal generator $N^2\mathcal{G}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{G}F)(m, C) &= \sum_{x,y} (C_{x+1,y} - C_{x-1,y} + C_{x,y+1} - C_{x,y}) \partial_{C_{x,y}} F \\ &+ \sum_x (m_{x+1} - m_x) \partial_{m_x} F + \sum_x [F(C^x, m^x) - F(C, m)] \end{aligned}$$

with C^x given by

$$(C^x)_{u,v} = \begin{cases} C_{u,v} & \text{if } [u \neq x \text{ and } v \neq x] \text{ or } [u = v = x], \\ -C_{u,v} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(m^x)_u = (-1)^{\delta_0(x-u)} m_u$$

In other words, $(C(\cdot), m(\cdot))$ are the solutions of the following integral equations

$$\begin{cases} C_{x,y}(t') = (-1)^{\mathcal{N}_x(t') + \mathcal{N}_y(t')} (C_{x,y}(0) \\ \quad - \int_0^{t'} (-1)^{\mathcal{N}_x(t') + \mathcal{N}_y(t')} [C_{x+1,y}(s) - C_{x-1,y}(s) + C_{x,y+1}(s) - C_{x,y-1}(s)] ds) \\ m_x(t') = (-1)^{\mathcal{N}_x(t')} (m_x(0) - \int_0^{t'} (-1)^{\mathcal{N}_x(t')} [m_{x+1}(s) - m_{x-1}(s)] ds) \end{cases}$$

with initial conditions

$$m_x(0) = 0, \quad C_{x,y}(0) = \delta_0(x-y) \beta_0^{-1}(x/N)$$

and $t' = tN^2$.

The existence and uniqueness of solutions is easily established (by the same methods as presented in section 2) in the space $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}_0 \times \mathfrak{N}_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_0 &= \bigcap_{\alpha > 0} \left\{ m \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} ; \sum_x e^{-\alpha|x|} m_x^2 < +\infty \right\} \\ \mathfrak{N}_1 &= \bigcap_{\alpha > 0} \left\{ C \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) ; \sum_{x,y} e^{-\alpha(|x|+|y|)} C_{x,y}^2 < +\infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the initial condition belongs to \mathfrak{N} . Moreover, for any $(m, C) \in \mathfrak{N}$, the Gaussian measure with mean m and correlation matrix C is meaningful (see e.g. chapter 2 of [5]).

This Markov process conserves the three quantities

$$(31) \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} m_x^2, \quad \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{x,y}^2, \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{x,x}$$

The initial condition μ^N is such that ρ_0 is the Dirac mass concentrated on

$$m = 0, \quad C_{x,y} = \delta_0(x-y) \beta_0^{-1}(x/N)$$

Therefore, we have $m(t) = 0$ for any $t \geq 0$. By denoting, with abuse of notations, by $\rho_t(C)$ the law of $C(t)$ at time t , we have by the two last conservation laws (31) that

$$\int d\rho_t(C) \left(\frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (C_{x,y} - \bar{\beta}^{-1} \delta_0(x-y))^2 \right) = \frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} [\beta_0^{-1}(x/N) - \bar{\beta}^{-1}]^2$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\frac{4}{M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} e_x^2(t) \right] &= M_N^{-2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} \int d\rho_t(C) G_{0,C}(\eta_x^4) \\ &= \frac{3}{M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} \int d\rho_t(C) C_{x,x}^2 \\ &= 3 \int d\rho_t(C) \left\{ \frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} (C_{x,x} - \bar{\beta}^{-1})^2 + \frac{2}{\beta M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} C_{x,x} \right\} + O\left(\frac{1}{M_N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that, for a Gaussian centered variable, the fourth moment is given by three times the square of the second one.

Observe that

$$\int d\rho_t(C) \left\{ \frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} C_{x,x} \right\} = 2\mathbb{E}_{\mu^N} \left[\frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} e_x(t) \right]$$

and this term is order M_N^{-1} by the first part of the lemma.

Up to terms of order M_N^{-1} , we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\rho_t(C) \left\{ \frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{|x| \leq M_N} (C_{x,x} - \bar{\beta}^{-1})^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \int d\rho_t(C) \left\{ \frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (C_{x,y} - \bar{\beta}^{-1} \delta_0(x-y))^2 \right\} \\ &= \int d\rho_0(C) \left\{ \frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (C_{x,y} - \bar{\beta}^{-1} \delta_0(x-y))^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{M_N^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta_0^{-1}(x/N) - \bar{\beta}^{-1})^2 \end{aligned}$$

since the penultimate sum is conserved by $(C(t))_{t \geq 0}$. By the assumption (6), the last term goes to zero as N goes to infinity. \square

6. GREEN-KUBO FORMULA

In this section we study the homogenization properties for the diffusion coefficient in the linear response theory framework. To present the results we have to introduce some notations.

Let $(\gamma_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables satisfying the assumption

$$\mathbf{P}[\gamma_- \leq \gamma_x \leq \gamma_+] = 1$$

where \mathbf{P} is the probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by the law of the disorder $\gamma = (\gamma_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}}$. The corresponding expectation is denoted by \mathbf{E} .

In this section, time is not accelerated by a factor N^2 . We first consider the closed system of length $N \geq 1$ with periodic boundary conditions. Let $\mathbb{T}_N = \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ be the usual discrete torus of length N . The generator \mathcal{L}_N of the system is given by (1) with the sums over $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ replaced by $x \in \mathbb{T}_N$.

Linear response theory predicts that the diffusion coefficient $D := D(\{\gamma\}, \beta)$ appearing in (2) is given by

$$(32) \quad D = \lim_{\lambda > 0, \lambda \rightarrow 0} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} L_N(\lambda)$$

where $L_N := L_N^{\gamma, \beta}$ is the Laplace transform of the current-current correlation function. It is defined for $z \in H^+$, $H^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(z) > 0\}$, by

$$L_N(z) = \frac{\beta^2}{2N} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-zt} \left\langle \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N} j_{x, x+1}(t), \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}_N} j_{y, y+1}(0) \right\rangle$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\beta$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{L}^2(\mu_\beta^N)$ where

$$\mu_\beta^N(d\eta) = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N} g_\beta(\eta_x) d\eta_x$$

is the Gibbs equilibrium measure with inverse temperature $\beta > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{T}_N}$. We also use the short notation $\langle \cdot \rangle_\beta := \langle \cdot \rangle$ for the expectation with respect to μ_β^N .

The Laplace transform L_N can be written as

$$L_N(z) = \frac{\beta^2}{2N} \left\langle \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N} j_{x, x+1}, (z - \mathcal{L}_N)^{-1} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}_N} j_{y, y+1} \right) \right\rangle$$

Observe that the definition (32) is only formal since it is not clear a priori that the limits exist.

We also consider the *homogenized* Green-Kubo formula for the infinite volume dynamics. It is defined by

$$(33) \quad \bar{D}(\beta) = \lim_{\lambda > 0, \lambda \rightarrow 0} L^\beta(\lambda)$$

where $L := L^\beta$ is the Laplace transform of the averaged current-current correlation function. It is defined for $z \in H^+$ by

$$L(z) = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-zt} \ll j_{0,1}(t), j_{0,1}(0) \gg$$

where $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg = \ll \cdot, \cdot \gg_\beta$ is the inner product defined for bounded local functions f and g by

$$\ll f, g \gg_\beta = \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} [\langle \tau_x f, g \rangle_\beta - \langle f \rangle_\beta \langle g \rangle_\beta] \right)$$

We shall denote by $\mathbb{L}^2(\ll \cdot \gg)$ the Hilbert space generated by the set of bounded local functions and the inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$.

The aim of this section is to show the following homogenization result

Theorem 2. *For almost every realization of the disorder γ , the Green-Kubo formulas (32) and (33) converge and are equal: $D(\{\gamma\}, \beta) = \bar{D}(\beta)$. Moreover, \bar{D} is independent of β .*

We recall that the functions L_N and L are analytical functions on H_+ (see e.g. [21], Theorem VIII.2).

Lemma 11. *There exists a constant $C := C(\beta, \gamma_+)$, independent of N , γ and $z \in H_+$, such that*

$$|L_N(z)| \leq C$$

Proof. The proof is a simple consequence of Proposition 6.1 in [15] and of the fact that $S_j j_{x,x+1} = -2(\gamma_x + \gamma_{x+1})j_{x,x+1}$ (see also Theorem 2 in [2]). \square

Let $h_z^N := h_z^N(\eta; \beta, \gamma)$ be the solution of the resolvent equation in $\mathbb{L}^2(\langle \cdot \rangle)$:

$$(z - \mathcal{L}_N)h_z^N = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N} j_{x,x+1}$$

We have

$$L_N(z) = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left\langle h_z^N, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}_N} j_{y,y+1} \right\rangle$$

Let $h_z := h_z(\eta; \beta)$ be the solution of the resolvent equation in $\mathbb{L}^2(\ll \cdot \gg)$:

$$(z - \mathcal{L})h_z = j_{0,1}$$

We have

$$L(z) = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \ll h_z, j_{0,1} \gg$$

Observe that if η is distributed according to μ_β then $\beta^{1/2}\eta$ is distributed according to μ_1 . Since $h_z(\eta; 1) = h_z(\eta; \beta)$ and $j_{x,x+1}$ is an homogeneous function of degree two in η , it follows that $L^\beta(z) = L^1(z)$. This implies the independence of the diffusion coefficient with respect to β .

In the following lemma we give an explicit formula for $L(z)$ if $\Re(z)$ is sufficiently large.

We shall denote by $\mathbb{P}_{R.W.}$ the law of the two-dimensional simple symmetric random walk $(S_j)_{j \geq 0} = (S_j^1, S_j^2)_{j \geq 0}$ starting from $(0, 1)$ and by $\mathbb{E}_{R.W.}$ the corresponding expectation. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ be the annealed expectation $\mathbf{E}\mathbb{E}_{R.W.}$.

For any path $\{S_j\}_{\{j=0,\dots,k\}}$ of length k , we define $\varepsilon(\{S\}_k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} ((S_{j+1} - S_j) \cdot \mathbf{w}) \in \{\pm 1\}$, where \mathbf{w} is the vector $(1, 1)$ and $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ denotes the usual scalar product of the two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} of \mathbb{R}^2 . We also introduce the random potential

$$\exp(-V_z(x, y)) = \frac{1}{z + \mathbf{1}_{x \neq y}(\gamma_x + \gamma_y)}$$

Lemma 12. *There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for any $z \in H_+$ with $\Re(z) \geq \lambda_0$, the Laplace transform $L(z)$ is given by*

$$(34) \quad L(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-4)^k \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\varepsilon(\{S\}_k) e^{-\sum_{j=0}^k V_z(S_j)} \delta_{\pm 1}(S_k^2 - S_k^1) \right]$$

Proof. Since the generator \mathcal{L} maps a polynomial function to a polynomial function of the same degree, the solution of the resolvent equation is expected to be of the form

$$h_z(\eta) = \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \phi_z(x, y) \eta_x \eta_y$$

where $\phi_z(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, is the (symmetric) solution of

$$(35) \quad (z + (\gamma_x + \gamma_y) \mathbf{1}_{x \neq y}) \phi_z(x, y) + (\tilde{\nabla} \phi_z)(x, y) = -\frac{\delta_1(x) \delta_0(y) + \delta_0(x) \delta_1(y)}{2}$$

with, for any function $u : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}u)(x, y) = (u(x, y + 1) - u(x, y - 1)) + (u(x + 1, y) - u(x - 1, y))$$

We shall denote by λ the real part of $z \in H_+$. In the sequel we show that, if λ is sufficiently large, a solution to (35) exists, so that h_z is of the form given above. In fact, it is not difficult to show that a solution to (35) exists for every $z \in H_+$.

The Laplace transform $L(z)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} L(z) &= \frac{\beta^2}{2} \ll h_z, j_{0,1} \gg = \frac{1}{2} \ll \left\{ \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \phi_z(x, y) \eta_x \eta_y \right\}, j_{0,1} \gg \\ &= -\frac{\beta^2}{2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbf{E} \left[\phi_z(x, y) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{|k| \leq n} \langle \eta_x \eta_y \eta_k \eta_{k+1} \rangle \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbf{E} [\phi_z(x, y) (\delta_1(x-y) + \delta_{-1}(x-y))] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_z(x, x+1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

We define the operator T_z , acting on the set of real valued functions u on \mathbb{Z}^2 , by

$$(36) \quad (T_z u)(x, y) = \frac{1}{(z + (\gamma_x + \gamma_y) \mathbf{1}_{x \neq y})} (\tilde{\nabla}u)(x, y)$$

Then (35) can be written in the following form

$$\phi_z + T_z \phi_z = \rho_z$$

where ρ_z is the function given by

$$\rho_z(x, y) = -\frac{(\delta_1(x)\delta_0(y) + \delta_0(x)\delta_1(y))}{2(z + (\gamma_x + \gamma_y) \mathbf{1}_{x \neq y})}$$

Observe that $\|T_z \phi\|_\infty \leq (4/\lambda) \|\phi\|_\infty$ so that if $\lambda > 4$ then T_z is contractive for the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm. It follows that for λ sufficiently large

$$\phi_z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k T_z^k \rho_z$$

For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have the following representation of the operator T_z^k

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} &(T_z^k u)(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{|e_1|=1} \dots \sum_{|e_k|=1} (e_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}) \dots (e_k \cdot \mathbf{w}) e^{-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} V_z(\mathbf{x} + e_1 + \dots + e_j)} u(\mathbf{x} + e_1 + \dots + e_k) \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that the term in the exponential corresponding to $j = 0$ is $V_z(\mathbf{x})$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_z(x, x+1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{R.W.} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-4)^k \varepsilon(\{S\}_k) e^{-\sum_{j=0}^k V_z(S_j+(x,x))} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. [\delta_1(x+S_k^1) \delta_0(x+S_k^2) + \delta_0(x+S_k^1) \delta_1(x+S_k^2)] \right] \end{aligned}$$

By summing over $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and by taking the expectation with respect to the disorder, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-4)^k \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\varepsilon(\{S\}_k) e^{-\sum_{j=0}^k V_z(S_j-(S_k^2, S_k^2))} \mathbf{1}_{S_k^2+1=S_k^1} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-4)^k \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\varepsilon(\{S\}_k) e^{-\sum_{j=0}^k V_z(S_j-(S_k^1, S_k^1))} \mathbf{1}_{S_k^2-1=S_k^1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

By taking first the expectation with respect to γ , we see that we can translate the environment and hence the potential by (S_k^2, S_k^2) in the first expectation and by (S_k^1, S_k^1) in the second one. Therefore, we get (34). \square

Lemma 13. *There exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for any $z \in H_+$ with $\Re(z) \geq \lambda_0$ and almost every disorder γ , the limit of $L_N(z)$ as N goes to infinity exists and is given by*

$$(38) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-4)^k \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\varepsilon(\{S\}_k) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k V_z(S_j)} \delta_{\pm 1}(S_k^2 - S_k^1) \right]$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to the previous one. We look for a solution in the form

$$h_z^N(\eta) = \sum_{x,y} \phi_z(x,y) \eta_x \eta_y$$

with $\phi_z(x,y)$, $(x,y) \in \mathbb{T}_N^2$, the solution of

$$(39) \quad (z + (\gamma_x + \gamma_y) \mathbf{1}_{x \neq y}) \phi_z(x,y) + (\tilde{\nabla} \phi_z)(x,y) = -\frac{\delta_1(x-y) + \delta_{-1}(x-y)}{2}$$

Let λ be the real part of $z \in H_+$ and define the operator T_z , acting on the real valued functions on \mathbb{T}_N^2 , according to (36). Then (39) can be written in the form $\phi_z + T_z \phi_z = \rho_z$, where ρ_z is the function given by

$$\rho_z(x,y) = -\frac{(\delta_1(x-y) + \delta_{-1}(x-y))}{2(z + (\gamma_x + \gamma_y) \mathbf{1}_{x \neq y})}$$

Observe that $\|T_z u\|_{\infty} \leq (4/\lambda) \|u\|_{\infty}$ so that if $\lambda > 4$ then T_z is contractive for the $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ norm. Therefore we have the following representation of ϕ_z

$$\phi_z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k T_z^k \rho_z$$

For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}_N^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_z^k u)(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{|e_1|=1} \dots \sum_{|e_k|=1} (e_1 \cdot \mathbf{w}) \dots (e_k \cdot \mathbf{w}) e^{-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} V_z(\mathbf{x}+e_1+\dots+e_j)} u(\mathbf{x} + e_1 + \dots + e_k) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(T_z^k \rho_z)(x, x+1) = -\frac{1}{2}(-4)^k \mathbb{E}_{R.W.} \left[\varepsilon(\{S\}_k) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k V_z((x,x)+S_j)} \delta_{\pm 1}(S_k^2 - S_k^1) \right]$$

Since $V_z((x,x)+S_j) = \tau_x V_z(S_j)$, the ergodic theorem implies

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_N} \phi_z(x, x+1) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-4)^k \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[\varepsilon(\{S\}_k) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^k V_z(S_j)} \delta_{\pm 1}(S_k^2 - S_k^1) \right]$$

This completes the proof. □

Since the sequence $(L_N^{\gamma, \beta}(z))_N$ is a bounded sequence of analytical functions on H_+ , Montel theorem implies it forms a compact sequence in the Banach space of analytical functions. Let $L_\infty^{\gamma^1, \beta}, L_\infty^{\gamma^2, \beta}$ be any (analytical) limit points corresponding to the realizations of γ^1 and γ^2 of the disorder. For any $z \in H_+$ such that $\{\Re(z) \geq \lambda_0\}$, we have

$$L_\infty^{\gamma^1, \beta}(z) = L^\beta(z) = L_\infty^{\gamma^2, \beta}(z)$$

Since the two analytical functions $L_\infty^{\gamma^1, \beta}$ and $L_\infty^{\gamma^2, \beta}$ on H_+ coincide on $\{z; \Re(z) \geq \lambda_0\}$ with L^β , they are equal on H_+ to L^β . It follows that, for almost every realization of the disorder and every $z \in H_+$, the limit as N goes to infinity of $L_N^{\gamma, \beta}(z)$ exists and is equal to $L^\beta(z)$. The theorem is a trivial consequence of the following non trivial fact:

Lemma 14. *The limit, as $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ goes to 0, of $L^\beta(\lambda)$ exists.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [2] (see also [4]). □

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bernardin. Hydrodynamics for a system of harmonic oscillators perturbed by a conservative noise. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **117**, (2007), 487–513.
- [2] C. Bernardin. Thermal conductivity for a noisy disordered harmonic chain. *J. Stat. Phys.* **133**, (2008), no. 3, 417–433.
- [3] C. Bernardin and S. Olla. Non-equilibrium macroscopic dynamics of chains of anharmonic oscillators, in preparation, available at <http://www.ceremade.dauphine.fr/olla/springs>.
- [4] F. Bonetto, J.L. Lebowitz, J. Lukkarinen and S. Olla. Heat conduction and entropy production in anharmonic crystals with self-consistent stochastic reservoirs. *J. Stat. Phys.* **134**, (2009), no. 5-6, 1097–1119.
- [5] G. Da Prato, J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **44**, (1992), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, xviii+454 pp. ISBN: 0-521-38529-6.
- [6] A. Faggionato and F. Martinelli. Hydrodynamic limit of a disordered lattice gas. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **127**, (2003), no. 4, 535–608.
- [7] A. Faggionato. Bulk diffusion of 1D exclusion process with bond disorder. *Markov Process. Related Fields* **13**, (2007), no. 3, 519–542.
- [8] A. Faggionato. Random walks and exclusion processes among random conductances on random infinite clusters: homogenization and hydrodynamic limit. *Electron. J. Probab.* **13**, (2008), no. 73, 2217–2247.
- [9] A. Faggionato, M. Jara and C. Landim. Hydrodynamic behavior of 1D subdiffusive exclusion processes with random conductances. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **144**, (2009), no. 3-4, 633–667.
- [10] J. Fritz. Hydrodynamics in a symmetric random medium. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **125**, (1989), no. 1, 13–25.

- [11] J. Fritz, T. Funaki and J.L. Lebowitz. Stationary states of random Hamiltonian systems, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **99**, (1994), 211–236.
- [12] P. Gonçalves and M. Jara. Scaling limits for gradient systems in random environment, *J. Stat. Phys.* **131**, (2008), no. 4, 691–716.
- [13] E. Hsu. Characterization of Brownian motion on manifolds through integration by parts. Stein’s method and applications, 195208, *Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap.*, **5**, (2005), Singapore Univ. Press.
- [14] M. Jara and C. Landim. Quenched nonequilibrium central limit Theorem for a tagged particle in the exclusion process with bond disorder, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **44**, (2008), Number 2, 341–361.
- [15] C. Kipnis and C. Landim. *Scaling limits of interacting particle systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1999), xvi+442 pp. ISBN: 3-540-64913-1.
- [16] K. Nagy. Symmetric random walk in random environment in one dimension. *Period. Math. Hungar.* **45**, (2002), no. 1-2, 101–120.
- [17] S. Olla. Central limit Theorems for tagged particles and for diffusions in random environment. *Milieux aléatoires*, 75–100, *Panor. Synthèses*, **12**, (2001), Soc. Math. France, Paris.
- [18] S. Olla, S.R.S. Varadhan and H.T. Yau. Hydrodynamic Limit for a Hamiltonian System with Weak Noise, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **155**, (1993), 523–560.
- [19] J. Quastel. *Diffusion in disordered media. Nonlinear stochastic PDEs* (Minneapolis, MN, 1994), 65-79, *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, **77**, (1996), Springer, New York.
- [20] J. Quastel. Bulk diffusion in a system with site disorder. *Ann. Probab.* **34**, (2006), no. 5, 1990–2036.
- [21] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis*. Second edition. Academic Press, Inc., New York, (1980), xv+400 pp. ISBN: 0-12-585050-6
- [22] S.R.S. Varadhan. *Nonlinear diffusion limit for a system with nearest neighbor interactions. II. Asymptotic problems in probability theory: stochastic models and diffusions on fractals* (Sanda/Kyoto, 1990), Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., **283**, (1993), 75128.

E-mail address: Cedric.Bernardin@umpa.ens-lyon.fr

UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON AND CNRS, UMPA, UMR-CNRS 5669, ENS-LYON, 46, ALLÉE D’ITALIE, 69364 LYON CEDEX 07 - FRANCE.