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ABSTRACT

Within the framework of long memory multivariate processes, fractal connectivity is a particular model, in which the low frequencies (coarse scales) of the interspectrum of each pair of process components are determined by the autospectra of the components. The underlying intuition is that long memories in each components are likely to arise from a same and single mechanism. The present contribution aims at defining and characterizing a statistical procedure for testing actual fractal connectivity amongst data. The test is based on Fisher’s Z transform and Pearson correlation coefficient, and anchored in a wavelet framework. Its performance are analyzed theoretically and validated on synthetic data. Its usefulness is illustrated on the analysis of Internet traffic Packet and Byte count time series.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sensor network deployment is nowadays common for system monitoring in many different applications, such as medicine, biology, environment, to name but a few (c.f., e.g., [1] and reference therein). Therefore, data to be analyzed often consist of multivariate time series, conveying in a potentially redundant and correlated manner the information practitioners are interested in. Measuring the amount of information shared amongst such data, or their (inter-)correlation is of practical and especially of scientific interest. Therefore, data to be analyzed often consist of multivariate time series (recalled in Section 2): Fractal connectivity is used to refer to long memory while connectivity implies that the interspectrum is proportional to the product of the autospectra in the limit of coarse analysis scales (equivalently, low frequencies), i.e., the coherence function goes to a non zero constant in the limit $|f| \to 0$. It is now well-known that long range dependence phenomena are relevantly and accurately analyzed in a wavelet framework [4] (briefly re-sketches in Section 3). Therefore, to test the fractal connectivity model, we propose a statistical procedure, based on the discrete wavelet transform coherence function and Fisher’s $Z$ transform. It is explained and defined in Section 4. Its performance are devised analytically and validated numerically by application to synthetic bivariate long memory time series with and without fractal connectivity (cf. Section 5). It is then applied to Internet traffic packet and byte count time series, collected very recently on a major transpacific backbone (cf. Section 6).

2. BIVARIATE FRACTAL CONNECTIVITY

Long memory. Long memory (LM), or long range dependence, is defined, for a process $X$, as a power law behavior of its spectrum $\Gamma_X(f)$ at the origin [2]:

$$\Gamma_X(f) \sim C|f|^{-\alpha_X}, \quad |f| \to 0, \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1)$$

This property has been widely observed for many different data in various research domains and its relevant analysis is important because it is known to strongly impair parameter estimation and to degrade the performance of a system, e.g., the amount of buffer needed on an Internet link.

Bivariate long memory model. For simplicity of notation, and without loss of generality, we restrict presentation here to the bivariate case only. The proposed test can be straightforwardly extended to the multivariate case by considering time series pairwise. Let $Z = \{Z(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{(X(t), Y(t))\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a real-valued bivariate discrete time series. Following [3], $Z$ is called a bivariate long memory process with parameters $\alpha_X, \alpha_Y, \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ if its $N$-th order difference process $\tilde{Z}(t) = \delta^N Z(t)$ is stationary and has spectral and inter-spectral densities $(-\pi \leq f \leq \pi)$, where the $\Omega_i$ consist of arbitrary positive multiplicative factors:

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{W}}(f) = \Omega_{\tilde{W}} \left| 1 - e^{-jf} \right|^{-2\alpha_{\tilde{W}}} \Gamma_{\tilde{W}}^\gamma(f), \quad W = X \text{ or } Y \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{X}Y}(f) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}Y} \left( 1 - e^{-jf} \right)^{-(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)} \left( 1 - e^{jf} \right)^{-(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)} \Gamma_{\tilde{X}Y}^\gamma(f). \quad (3)$$

The parameters $\alpha_{(i)}$ are confined to the range $[0, 0.5]$. The functions $\Gamma_{(i)}^\gamma(f)$ are non-negative, symmetric, with limit 1 at the origin, hence modeling short memory (SM) properties at high frequencies, without affecting the spectral densities around the origin. Let us define $\alpha_{XY} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. By definition, the coherence function:

$$C_{\tilde{X}Y}(f) = \frac{\Gamma_{\tilde{X}Y}(f)}{\sqrt{\Gamma_{\tilde{X}}(f)\Gamma_{\tilde{Y}}(f)}}$$

has to be between 0 and 1. Also, it behaves asymptotically, in the limit $f \to 0$, as [3]:

$$C_{\tilde{X}Y}(f) \sim_{f \to 0} C_0 |f|^{-(\alpha_{XY} - \alpha_X - \alpha_Y)}. \quad (4)$$

This shows that the model is well defined only if $\alpha_{XY} \leq \alpha_X + \alpha_Y$ and that $C_0 = |\Omega_{12}|/\sqrt{\Omega_X\Omega_Y}$ is a constant, controlling the global level of correlation of the series $X$ and $Y$. 


Fractal connectivity. Fractal connectivity is theoretically defined as the special case where \( C_0 \neq 0 \) and \( C_{XY}(f) \) exactly reduces to a non-zero constant over a range of coarse scales (low frequencies). Equivalently, this implies, \( C_0 \neq 0 \) and:

\[
\alpha_{XY} = \alpha_X + \alpha_Y.
\]  

Essentially, the test described below aims at testing this equality. The intuition underlying fractal connectivity is that a same and single mechanism in the system uniquely controls the independent and joint LM properties of the multivariate data components. This test can hence avoid practitioners the burden of erroneously searching for different causes for LM.

3. DISCRETE WAVELET TRANSFORM

Discrete wavelet transform. A mother wavelet \( \psi_0(t) \) is a reference pattern with narrow supports in both time and frequency domains. It is characterized by its number of vanishing moment \( N_\psi \geq 1: \forall k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_\psi - 1, \int t^k \psi_0(t) \, dt \equiv 0 \) and \( \int t^N_\psi \psi_0(t) \, dt \neq 0. \) Also, it is such that the \( \{ \psi_{j,k}(t) = 2^{-j/2} \psi_0(2^{-j}t - k), j \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) form a basis of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). The discrete wavelet transform (DWT) coefficients of \( X \) are defined as: \( d_X(j,k) = \langle X, \psi_{j,k} \rangle. \) For further details, readers are referred to e.g., [5].

Stationary processes. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) denote second order stationary processes. It is straightforward to show that [4]:

\[
\langle d_X(j,k), d_Y(j,k) \rangle = \int \Gamma_{XY}(f) \, |\Psi_0(2^j f)|^2 \, df,
\]

where \( \Psi_0 \) stands for the Fourier transform of \( \psi_0 \) and \( E \) for the mathematical expectation. Following [4, 6], relevant wavelet based estimators for the auto- and inter-spectra of \( X \) and \( Y \) are defined as:

\[
S_W(2^j) = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} d_W(j,k)^2, \quad W = X \text{ or } Y,
\]

\[
S_{XY}(2^j) = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} d_X(j,k) d_Y(j,k),
\]

where \( n_j \) is the number of coefficients available at scale \( 2^j \). Qualitatively, the scale \( 2^j \) acts as the inverse of the frequency, \( f \sim f_0/2^j \), with \( f_0 \) a constant threshold on \( \psi_0 \).

Bivariate long memory processes. For bivariate LM processes \( Z \), on condition that \( N_\psi > N \), the wavelet coefficients \( d_X \) and \( d_Y \) at scale \( j \) form stationary sequences, and Eqs. (6-7) translate to:

\[
\mathbb{E} d_X(j,k)^2 \sim c_X 2^{2j(\alpha_X + N)}, \quad \mathbb{E} d_Y(j,k)^2 \sim c_Y 2^{2j(\alpha_Y + N)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} d_X(j,k) d_Y(j,k) \sim c_{XY} 2^{j(\alpha_X + \alpha_Y + N)}.
\]

Fractal connectivity. If fractal connectivity, Eq. (5), is valid, Eq. (10) above implies that \( \hat{\gamma}_{XY}(2^j) \) takes a quasi constant non zero value over a range of coarse scales \( 2^j \geq 2^{j_1} \):

\[
\hat{\gamma}_{XY}(2^j) \simeq \gamma_0 \neq 0,
\]

while it decreases to 0 as \( \gamma_0 2^{j(\alpha_X - \alpha_X - \alpha_Y)} \) otherwise. This serves as the key ingredient for the design of a test for fractal connectivity.

4. TESTING FRAC TAL CONNECTIVITY

Test formulation. The \( \hat{\gamma}_{XY}(2^j) \) can be read as the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient of the series \( d_X(j, \cdot) \) and \( d_Y(j, \cdot) \).

It is known that, for many distributions \( F, d_X(j, \cdot), \sim \mathcal{E}(\cdot) \), the Fisher’s \( Z \) statistic \( \hat{Z}_{XY}(2^j) \) is asymptotically Normal (e.g. [7]):

\[
\hat{z}_{XY}(2^j) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + \gamma_{XY}(2^j)}{1 - \gamma_{XY}(2^j)} \sim \mathcal{N}(\gamma_{XY}(2^j), \sigma^2(2^j)),
\]

with \( \gamma_{XY}(2^j) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + \gamma_{XY}(2^j)}{1 - \gamma_{XY}(2^j)} \) and variance \( \sigma^2(2^j) = \frac{1}{2} \). Therefore, testing fractal connectivity can be formulated as a test of the equality of means of Gaussian r.v.s with known but different variances, i.e., of the null hypothesis:

\[
H_0 : z_{XY}(2^{j_1+1}) = z_{XY}(2^{j_2+1}) = \cdots = z_{XY}(2^{j_2+j}),
\]

where the scale range \( j \in [J_1, J_2] \) is discussed below. Let \( J = J_2 - J_1 + 1 \). The test statistic for the UMPT test of equality of means of Gaussian r.v.s is given by [8]:

\[
\hat{V}_j = \sum_{j=J_1}^{J_2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(2^j)} \left( \hat{z}_{XY}(2^j) - \frac{\sum_{j=J_1}^{J_2} \hat{z}_{XY}(2^j) \sigma^2(2^j)}{\sum_{j=J_1}^{J_2} 1/\sigma^2(2^j)} \right)^2.
\]

Under \( H_0 \), idealizing the quasi-decorrelation of the wavelet coefficient into exact independence [4], one expects \( \hat{V}_j \) to follow a \( \chi^2_{(J_2 - J_1)} \) distribution. Consequently, the \((1 - \alpha)\) significance test for fractal connectivity can be formulated as:

\[
\hat{d}_j = 1 \text{ if } \hat{V}_j > C_{\alpha}, \quad \hat{d}_j = 0 \text{ otherwise},
\]

where \( C_{\alpha} \) is the upper \((1 - \alpha)\) percentile of the \( \chi^2_{(J_2 - J_1)} \) distribution. Similarly, the \( p \)-value of the observed test statistic \( \hat{V}_j \) is given by:

\[
p_j = 1 - \hat{F}_{(J_2-J_1)}(\hat{V}_j),
\]

where \( \hat{F}_{(J_2-J_1)} \) denotes the cumulative \( \chi^2_{(J_2-J_1)} \) distribution function.

Power of the test. When \( H_0 \) is not true, \( \hat{V}_j \) follows a non-central \( \chi^2_{(J_2-J_1)} \) distribution, where \( \hat{V}_j \) is given by Eq. (14) with \( z(j) \) replacing \( \hat{z}(j) \). This enables to evaluate explicitly the power of the test against a specific alternative hypothesis \( \alpha_{XY} - \alpha_X - \alpha_Y < 0 \).

Scale range. Selecting the range of scales \( j \in [J_1, J_2] \) where to perform the test results from a standard trade-off: \( J_1 \) needs to be chosen large enough so that SM (controlled by the \( \Gamma_{XY} \)) no longer contribute (Type I error); however a too large \( J_1 \) decreases effective sample size and the power of the test (Type II error). \( J_2 \) is naturally limited by the available sample size (\( J_2 \sim \log_2 n \)).

5. TEST PERFORMANCE

Numerical simulations. To evaluate the test performance, we apply it to a large number \( N_{MC} = 1024 \) of realizations of length \( n = 2^{18} \) of Gaussian bivariate 2nd order stationary (hence \( N = 0 \), cf. Section 2) long memory processes, with prescribed auto- and inter-spectra according to Eqs. (2-3), implemented by ourselves following Chambers’ algorithm [9]. The constant \( C_0 \) is varied within 0.5 \( \leq C_0 \leq 0.9 \), implying a significant global correlation between \( X \) and \( Y \), be they fractally connected or not. Parameters are set to \( \alpha_X = 0.1, \alpha_Y = 0.3 \). Under \( H_0 \), \( \alpha_{XY} = 0.4 \), while under \( H_1 \),
The significance level is set to $\alpha = 0.1$. Test performance are assessed by the mean rejection rates $d_j = \bar{\hat{E}}_{MC} d_j$ and mean p-values $\bar{p}_j = \bar{E}_{MC} \bar{p}_j$, where $\bar{E}_{MC}$ stands for the mean over $N_{MC}$ Monte Carlo realizations: Ideally, under $H_0$, $d_j$ should reproduce the preset significance level $\alpha$, whereas the p-value should be uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, hence $\bar{p}_j$ should equal 0.5; Under $H_1$, the test should reject $H_0$, hence the larger (smaller) $d_j$ ($\bar{p}_j$), the better.

**Wavelet spectra and coherence, Fisher Z statistics.**  Fig. 1 shows, under $H_0$, the average over realizations (and corresponding 95% asymptotic confidence intervals (CI)) of the (log of the absolute values of the) wavelet (inter-)spectra (top) and coherence, and Fisher’s Z statistics (bottom), when no SM is present (i.e., $\Gamma^*_i \equiv 1$). It indicates that the estimates $\hat{\gamma}_{XY}(2^j)$ and $\hat{\xi}_{XY}(2^j)$ are quasi constant over the entire range of available scales $2^j$, as predicted by the model. Fig. 2 illustrates $\hat{\gamma}_{XY}(2^j)$ and $\hat{\xi}_{XY}(2^j)$ under $H_0$ ($C_0 = 0.7$) when SM is present (top row), and under $H_1$ (bottom row, $\alpha_{XY} = 0.2$). The plots indicate that: i) Under $H_0$, the existence of SM has a clear impact on fine scales ($2^j \leq 2^k \leq 2^4$). Yet, at coarse scales, both $\hat{\gamma}_{XY}(2^j)$ and $\hat{\xi}_{XY}(2^j)$ are quasi-constant; ii) Under $H_1$, both $\hat{\gamma}_{XY}(2^j)$ and $\hat{\xi}_{XY}(2^j)$ display a significantly nonconstant behavior with scales $2^j$. These preliminary investigations clearly validate the test as formulated in Eqs. (13-15).

**Test performance: Significance.**  Tab. 1 summarizes mean test decisions and p-values when $\alpha_{XY}$ and $C_0$ are varied and no SM is present. Under $H_0$ ($\alpha_{XY} = 0.4$, left column), the targeted 10% significance level is closely reproduced and mean p-values are close to the expected value 0.5, regardless of the precise value of $C_0$, indicating that the test statistic $V_j$ Eq. (14) accurately follows the predicted $\chi^2_{(J-1)}$ distribution. This is further confirmed in Fig. 3 (left), showing the histogram of $V_j$ under $H_0$.

**Test performance: Power.**  Tab. 1 (col. 3-6) clearly indicates that the test is powerful in rejecting $H_0$ when $\alpha_{XY} < \alpha_X + \alpha_Y$ and an alternative hypothesis is true: With increasing discrepancy between $\alpha_{XY}$ and $\alpha_X + \alpha_Y$, mean rejection rates (p-values) increase (decrease). Also, the larger $C_0$, the more powerful the test: For large global correlation $C_0 = 0.9$, the test rejects fractal connectivity with probability close to 1 already for discrepancy in exponents $\alpha_{XY}$ and $\alpha_X + \alpha_Y$ as small as 0.05. Fig. 3 (right) confirms that the test statistic $V_j$ closely follows the predicted non central $\chi^2_{(J-1)}$ distribution under an alternative hypothesis.
Fig. 4: Internet time series. Packet (left) and byte (right) counts as functions of time, $\Delta_0 = 10$ms.

Fig. 5: Wavelet spectra. Wavelet (inter-)spectra for Packet and byte counts (top), wavelet coherence and $Z$ statistics (bottom).

**Scale range and short memory.** Tab. 2 compares the impact of the choice of $J_1$ on test performance under $H_0$, without (top) and with (bottom) SM. Without SM, the targeted 10% significance level (and the corresponding $p = 0.5$ average p-value) are systematically well reproduced, regardless of the choice of $J_1$. In contrast, with SM, the scale range must be restricted to coarse scales $j \geq J_1 = 9$ to reproduce nominal performance, resulting in less powerful tests and increased difficulty in rejecting the fractal connectivity hypothesis.

6. INTERNET TRAFFIC TIME SERIES

Internet monitoring and security nowadays constitute major tasks and challenges, often achieved by means of traffic flows statistical characterization. Commonly, Internet traffic is analyzed as aggregated time series, consisting of either IP packet (Pkt) or bytes (Byt) counts within bins of selected duration $\Delta_0$. It is naturally suspected that such time series should be correlated but their precise interrelation is still an open debate. Moreover, Internet traffic is well known to be characterized by a strong LRD property [4], and we intend here to illustrate the usefulness of the proposed test to analyze LRD jointly in Pkt or Byt counts and hence to contribute to the ongoing debate. Should practitioners concentrate on the analysis of Pkt or Byt counts? Data analyzed are part of the MAWI data set (1GB public repository available at http://mawi.wide.ad.jp/, cf. [10]). Results are reported here for data collected on March 3rd, 2006, between 7.30am and 7.45am (Tokyo time), on a transpacific OC3 backbone link (time series shown in Fig. 4). Fig. 5 indicates that both Pkt and Byt counts present LRD, at coarse scales and significantly rejects fractal connectivity, suggesting that LRD in Pkt and Byt may result from a single and same network mechanism and are hence not created from different network sources. However, for a number of data, wavelet inter-spectra differ significantly from the one shown here (while individual auto-spectra do present LRD). When applied, the test indicates no correlation at coarse scales and significantly rejects fractal connectivity, suggesting that LRD in Pkt and Byt may result from different and independent causes. Manual inspections tend to indicate that such cases are related to the occurrence of anomalies in the traffic, be they legitimate or not. This requires further validation and is under current investigation. This opens new perspectives for Internet traffic monitoring and for automated anomaly detection, where inter-spectra are rarely considered, despite the multivariate nature of traffic data.

7. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

A statistical test for fractal connectivity in bivariate time series has been defined, analyzed and assessed. Its extension to multivariate data is straightforward, by considering each pair of data components. The test relies on wavelet based estimations of the autospectra, interspectra and coherence functions of the data. It has been shown to present satisfactory practical performance. To our knowledge, this is the first procedure for testing joint long memory properties of multivariate data practically available in the literature. It provides practitioners with elements of answers to questions regarding the independence or not of the mechanisms at work in the production of the data, notably of their long range dependence property. Preliminary attempts for the analysis of Internet traffic and various biological and biomedical data indicate promising perspectives.
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