



HAL
open science

Non-Gaussian distributions under scrutiny

Thierry Dauxois

► **To cite this version:**

Thierry Dauxois. Non-Gaussian distributions under scrutiny. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2007, 08, pp.N08001. 10.1088/1742-5468/2007/08/N08001 . ensl-00199375

HAL Id: ensl-00199375

<https://hal-ens-lyon.archives-ouvertes.fr/ensl-00199375>

Submitted on 18 Dec 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Non-Gaussian distributions under scrutiny

Thierry Dauxois

Laboratoire de Physique, ENS Lyon, CNRS, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon cedex 07,
France

E-mail: Thierry.Dauxois@ens-lyon.fr

The importance of the Gaussian distribution as a quantitative model of stochastic phenomena is familiar to physicists. Brownian motion is presumably the paradigmatic example; in this case, it is well known that the sum of a very large number of small displacements is Gaussian distributed with a variance that grows with time. From the mathematical point of view, this result is made precise by the central limit theorem, and is essentially valid provided that the elementary displacements are sufficiently *decorrelated* one from another. This explains, incidentally, why one usually cites the drunkard's walk as an example of Brownian motion: it is a walk for which decorrelation is provided by the wine!

The Gaussian is not the only limit distribution for sums of random variables. Numerous examples of non-Gaussian distributions have been reported in the context of what is nowadays loosely called “complex systems”, and which include disordered systems, systems undergoing phase transitions, turbulent fluids, astrophysical systems, finance time series, social networks, etc. In these systems, sums of random variables can be defined, but the correlations between the variables are then so strong that they cannot be omitted, with the end result that the distribution of the sum is not, in general, Gaussian distributed in the limit of infinite number of events. Many different approaches to predict what the limiting distribution is have been proposed in complicated problems involving long-range interactions, memory effects, etc.

In this context, it has been suggested that the so-called q -Gaussian distribution, defined by

$$G_q(x) = A(1 - (1 - q)\beta x^2)^{1/1-q}, \quad (1)$$

could be the basis for a generalized central limit theorem. In this expression, A is a normalization constant and β controls the width of the distribution. The basis for this suggestion [2] is that the distribution (1) maximizes

$$S_q = \frac{1 - \int p(x)^q dx}{q - 1}, \quad (2)$$

which would reduce to the usual Shannon entropy $-\int p(x) \ln p(x) dx$ when the so-called entropic index tends to 1. Expression (2) would thus be a generalization of statistical mechanics, often called non extensive statistical mechanics. It is important

to emphasize that the parameter q allows for an interpolation between the “window” function which is constant over a finite support (obtained for $q \rightarrow -\infty$), the ordinary Gaussian distribution ($q = 1$), and distributions having power-law tails ($1 < q < 3$). The function $G_q(x)$ has therefore quite a large fitting spectrum and might be applied for the study of strongly correlated systems.

Independently of their applicability to statistical mechanics, q -Gaussians have impressive mathematical properties and became rapidly popular. They have been proposed to describe numerous experimental or numerical results: velocity distributions of classical rotators or galaxy clusters, turbulent flows, cellular aggregates or the temperature fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background,... Unfortunately, in the absence of firm grounds, physicists have distributed themselves between enthusiasts and skeptics. At this point in time, it is therefore important to distinguish whether the formalism suggested by (1) and (2) can be the basis for developing a real predictive theory or if it is “just” a nice idea and a powerful fitting function. Two important questions are particularly pressing here: (i) does the q -Gaussian law describe the details of some physical problems and, more importantly, (ii) is anyone able to provide analytical predictions of the value of the q -index in terms of the microscopic parameters of the physical system.

A particularly interesting paper in this respect is the one by Henk Hilhorst and Gregory Schehr [1]. It is an important step for statistical physics since the authors are able to show by explicit calculations that, in two examples of random variables, previously put forward as candidates for being q -Gaussian distributed, the probability distributions of the sums turn out to be analytically different, although they closely resemble them numerically.

The first example is presumably the simplest imaginable instance of a strongly correlated system [3], namely the scaled sum $\sum_j u_j/N$, where the N variables u_j are identically distributed on a finite domain, but with strong mean-field correlations. For this example, Thistleton *et al* conjectured from numerical fits that the distributions of the sums is q -Gaussian. Hilhorst and Schehr, however, show analytically [1] that it is not.

The second model [4] consists of N Boolean random variables, correlated in an implicit way. For this model q -Gaussians were also observed numerically, but Hilhorst and Schehr [1] show again that the true distribution underlying the model is not a q -Gaussian. Yet, as it is nicely stated in Hilhorst and Schehr paper, “things conspire again such that it becomes extremely difficult to distinguish the true curve from its q -Gaussian approximant”. In the end, although the definition of the model was clearly motivated by the formalism of q -Gaussians, Hilhorst and Schehr show that q -Gaussians do not pass a careful inspection.

These considerations are rather reminiscent of recent works on experimental Lagrangian turbulence. After a preliminary and promising study [5], which showed that the distribution of accelerations in turbulent fluids could be fitted by q -Gaussians, an improved set of experimental data [6] later showed that they were, after all, neither

well described nor well fitted by q -Gaussians.

In summary, notwithstanding the interesting properties exhibited by q -Gaussians, the two examples studied in Ref. [1] do not lend support to the idea that these functions play any special role as limit distributions of correlated sums. Clearly, more work is called for establishing a more comprehensive picture and to satisfactorily assess the role (if any) of q -Gaussians in statistical mechanics. The future is open, but if there is one lesson that has to be learned here, it is that one should be extremely careful when interpreting non-Gaussian data in terms of q -Gaussians.

References

- [1] Hilhorst H J, Schehr G, *A note on q -Gaussians and non-Gaussians in statistical mechanics*, 2007, *J. Stat. Mech.* P06003.
- [2] Tsallis C, *Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics*, 1988, *J. Stat. Phys.* 52, 479.
- [3] Thistleton W, Marsh J A, Nelson K and Tsallis C, *Generalized Box-Muller method for generating q -Gaussian random deviates*, 2006, unpublished.
- [4] Moyano L G, Tsallis C and Gell-Mann M, *Numerical indications of a q -generalised central limit theorem*, 2006, *Europhysics Letters* 73, 813.
- [5] Beck C., *Dynamical foundations of nonextensive statistical mechanics*, 2001, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 180601.
- [6] Mordant N., Crawford A. M. and Bodenschatz E., *Experimental Lagrangian acceleration probability density function measurement* (2004), *Physica D* 193, 245.